See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/323020362

Food waste matters - A systematic review of household food waste practices and their policy implications

Article *in* Journal of Cleaner Production · February 2018

CITATIONS		READS		
769		15,347		
3 autho	rs:			
an	Karin Schanes		Karin Dobernig	
and a	Gesundheit Österreich GmbH		Fachhochschule Wiener Neustadt	
	17 PUBLICATIONS 1,161 CITATIONS		16 PUBLICATIONS 995 CITATIONS	
	SEE PROFILE		SEE PROFILE	
	Burcu Goezet			
	Wuppertal Institute for Climate, Environment and Energy			
	19 PUBLICATIONS 790 CITATIONS			
	SEE PROFILE			
Some of	Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:			

Sustainable Behaviour Benefits Communication and Competency Building for All View project

Project

POLFREE View project

Journal of Cleaner Production 182 (2018) 978-991

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Cleaner Production

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jclepro

Food waste matters - A systematic review of household food waste practices and their policy implications

Karin Schanes ^{a, *}, Karin Dobernig ^{a, b}, Burcu Gözet ^a

^a Institute for Ecological Economics, WU - Vienna University of Economics and Business, Austria
^b Department of Marketing and Sales, University of Applied Sciences Wiener Neustadt, Austria

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 31 March 2017 Received in revised form 30 January 2018 Accepted 2 February 2018 Available online 8 February 2018

Keywords: Food waste Food practices Food behaviour Systematic literature review Food policy Sustainable consumption

ABSTRACT

In recent years, food waste has received growing interest from local, national and European policymakers, international organisations, NGOs as well as academics from various disciplinary fields. Increasing concerns about food security and environmental impacts, such as resource depletion and greenhouse gas emissions attributed to food waste, have intensified attention to the topic. While food waste occurs in all stages of the food supply chain, private households have been identified as key actors in food waste generation. However, the evidence on why food waste occurs remains scattered. This paper maps the still small but expanding academic territory of consumer food waste by systematically reviewing empirical studies on food waste practices as well as distilling factors that foster and impede the generation of food waste on the household level. Moreover, we briefly discuss the contributions of different social ontologies, more particularly psychology-related approaches and social practice theory. The analysis reveals food waste as a complex and multi-faceted issue that cannot be attributed to single variables; this also calls for a stronger integration of different disciplinary perspectives. Mapping the determinants of waste generation deepens the understanding of household practices and helps design food waste prevention strategies. Finally, we link the identified factors with a set of policy, business, and retailer options.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Globally, nearly one third of food produced for human consumption is lost or wasted, equalling a total of 1.3. billion tonnes of food per year (Gustavsson et al., 2011). As the production of food is resource-intensive, food losses and wastes are indirectly accompanied by a broad range of environmental impacts, such as soil erosion, deforestation, water and air pollution, as well as greenhouse gas emissions that occurin the processes of food production, storage, transportation, and waste management (Mourad, 2016). Scenarios for Europe indicate a considerable potential for reducing emissions through the reduction of food waste (Rutten et al., 2013) along the stages of the food production and consumption chain (Schanes et al., 2016).

Due to these growing environmental but also social and economic concerns, food waste is increasingly acknowledged as an

* Corresponding author. WU - Vienna University of Economics and Business, Institute for Ecological Economics, Welthandelsplatz 1, D5, 1020 Vienna, Austria. *E-mail addresses:* karin.schanes@wu.ac.at (K. Schanes), karin.dobernig@wu.ac.at

(K. Dobernig), burcu.goezet@wu.ac.at (B. Gözet).

urgent issue among governments, businesses, NGOs, academics, and the general public. In response, there is a mounting evidence base on the quantities of food wasted and the related emissions along the food production-consumption chain (e.g. Beretta et al., 2013; Edjabou et al., 2016). Along the food supply chain, private households represent the largest food-waste faction (BIOIS, 2010). Given the high amounts of food waste occurring on the household level, the prevention of food waste at the final stages of the supply chain is of utmost importance to help prevent further climate change (Parfitt et al., 2010). To be more precise, if food is wasted by households at the end of the supply chain, all (fossil) energy (and greenhouse gas emissions) put into its production, processing, transportation, cooling and preparation was in vain.

There is, however, still a relative paucity of field research on the subject of consumer-generated food waste in the context of private households. Despite a growing number of studies, little is known about the determinants of consumer food waste and the underlying factors that encourage, drive or impede food waste behaviours and practices (Graham-Rowe et al., 2014). A closer look at households brings to light that the issues of food waste and sustainable practices around food are multifaceted (Evans, 2014). Given its complex

0959-6526/© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).







nature, the evidence on drivers of food wastage and barriers to its reduction remains scattered. Thyberg and Tonjes (2016) have provided a literature review of the causes of food waste which concentrates on cultural, political, economic, and geographic drivers, with a particular focus on the US. However, a truly systematic review that covers research from social sciences in particular, and delivers a comprehensive map of the intellectual territory of the main reasons for food wastage occurring on the household level, remains absent.

In this paper, we present a literature review of the existing scholarly discussion on the reasons for consumer food waste in a systematic, transparent, and replicable way. We review and analyse evidence on the factors impeding or promoting consumer food waste, and, based on this analysis, discuss the contributions of psychology-oriented approaches as well as social practice theory. Subsequently, we provide insights into policy approaches as well as business options for tackling the issues raised by such evidence, and make suggestions for future research.

The contributions provided by this systematic literature review are two-fold: first, it helps to identify gaps in scholarly evidence which still need to be filled to further grow the knowledge-base on food waste behaviour; second, it provides a knowledge repertoire and thus guidance for evidence-based management and policymaking which can potentially improve the quality and effectiveness of policy measures as well as technological innovations targeted towards food waste reduction.

2. Method

In this paper, we review the still modest but rapidly growing body of academic literature on consumer food waste. Thereby, we go beyond a sole focus on individual consumers and situate consumer food waste in the context of private households (see also Wahlen and Winkel, 2016). Empirically, we orient ourselves along the systematic literature review methodology. For practitioners, systematic reviews can help address managerial problems by producing a reliable knowledge base through accumulating findings from a range of studies. For scholars, systematic reviews can enhance methodological rigor as well as highlight opportunities for further research (Briner and Denyer, 2012; Rousseau et al., 2008).

In our study, we first located relevant studies based on our review objective of distilling evidence on why food waste occurs in households. Here, we limited the search to peer-reviewed journal articles published in English and consciously omitted grey literature such as research reports or books. We believe a highly commendable scientific journal should refer to peer-reviewed literature only. Besides, 'grey' literature that meets scientific standards are often published in the scientific literature in form of a condensed version (e.g. Quested et al., 2013). Yet, we included important 'grey' literature that provides valuable policy recommendations in the discussion (Section 4).

The databases Web of Science, Scopus, and GoogleScholar were used as a basis for the literature search. The initial key word search included the search strings "food waste" AND "consumer" as well as "food waste" AND "household". Subsequently, the articles generated from the initial search were checked manually (mainly by reading through the abstract). We excluded studies that (i) did not have households and consumers as units of analysis; (ii) did not have a focus on reasons and drivers for food waste on the household level (studies that solely dealt with the quantification of food waste were excluded), and (iii) were not empirical studies (literature reviews were not analysed). This pool of literature was further developed through the snowballing technique i.e. by checking the references of the articles yielded by the initial search. The complete search resulted in a list of 60 articles on which the systematic literature review is based.

In a next step, we coded the gathered papers on various dimensions using the MAXQDA software tool for qualitative data analysis. The codes are organized around the identified key variables and factors which are sought to impact the amount of food waste occurring in households and that were investigated by the selected studies. The initial codes were scaled up into three core categories: socio-demographic factors, psycho-social factors, and food-related household behaviours. We then identified relations, contradictions and gaps in the literature and discussed them in Section 4. Finally, we synthesized the gathered evidence (Denyer and Tranfield, 2009) and integrated it into a table that links consumer food waste with its drivers and consequences, as well as connects these drivers and consequences with potential policy, business, and retailer options (see Table 3).

2.1. Limitations

While this paper has taken a global focus, it draws mainly on empirical studies conducted in Europe. As with any qualitative analysis that brings together studies of households from different geographical locations, the study intends to act as a guide to show tendencies why food waste occurs; however, it does not provide a generalizable truth that is valid for all countries and cultures worldwide. To what extent inconsistencies in results can be explained by country-specific or cultural aspects is outside the scope of this study but could be a potential avenue for further research.

2.2. Analysis of bibliographic information

We provide a succinct analysis of the basic characteristics of the articles selected for the review. Fig. 1 shows the (cumulated) number of empirical, peer-reviewed papers published on food waste from 1980 to early 2017. It is apparent that the academic interest in consumer food waste has steadily increased. The scientific output of food waste-related papers has more than doubled over the course of the last five years.

Table 1 and Table 2 provide an overview of the papers published by author and academic journal respectively. Interestingly, the body of researchers conducting empirical studies on consumer food waste is large and diverse with a total of 154 different authors publishing in 35 different journals. The British Food Journal is the dominant source title followed by Resources, Conservation and Recycling and a variety of journals that published three articles including the Journal of Cleaner Production.

3. Results: Explaining food waste behaviour and practices

In the following sub-sections, we outline and discuss the scholarly evidence on reasons for food waste occurring on the household level. Thereby, we start with a short overview of two social ontologies that have been dominant in the scholarly discussion. After that, we provide insights into individuals' perceptions and understandings of food waste. Furthermore, we present food-related practices and routines in the household that have been found to play a role in the generation of food waste. Finally, we explore the potential role of socio-demographic factors on food waste.

3.1. Theoretical perspectives on food waste

The issue of food waste generation in households of industrialized countries has received attention from scholars of diverse disciplinary fields. While we want to abstain from drawing too



Fig. 1. Academic publications on (consumer) food waste (status: February 15, 2017).

Table 1

Top-24 authors (regardless of authorship) ranked by number of publications on consumer food waste.

	Author	Number of Publications		Author	Number of Publications
1	Wansink, Brian	4	13	Hartikainen, Hanna	2
2	Evans, David	3	14	Jessop, Donna C.	2
3	Principato, Ludovica	3	15	Lähteenmäki, Liisa	2
4	Secondi, Luca	3	16	Lanfranchi, Maurizio	2
5	Calabrò, Grazia	2	17	Loebnitz, Natascha	2
6	Cappellini, Benedetta	2	18	Meah, Angela	2
7	De Pascale, Angelina	2	19	Parente, Juracy	2
8	Fazio, Alessandro	2	20	Porpino, Gustavo	2
9	Giannetto, Carlo	2	21	Reinikainen, Anu	2
10	Graham-Rowe, Ella	2	22	Schmidt, Karolin	2
11	Griffith, Christopher J.	2	23	Silvennoinen, Kirsi	2
12	Grunert, Klaus G	2	24	Sparks, Paul	2

strict disciplinary boundaries, one can broadly distinguish two social ontologies that have enriched the scholarly discussion on consumer food waste. On the one hand, there are psychologyoriented approaches – often rooted in fields of consumer behaviour or environmental psychology – that aim to single-out and measure specific intra-personal, cognitive, motivational and structural factors and processes either driving or impeding proenvironmental behaviour (Steg and Vlek, 2009). In our review, we find that in the field of environmental psychology, the theory of planned behaviour (Ajzen, 1991) is the framework that is

Table 2

Top 12 academic journals ranked by number of publications on consumer food waste.

	Academic Journal	Number of Publications
1	British Food Journal	7
2	Resources, Conservation and Recycling	4
3	Appetite	3
4	Food Quality and Preference	3
5	Journal of Cleaner Production	3
6	Journal of Consumer Behaviour	3
7	Journal of Food Products Marketing	3
8	Critical Public Health	2
9	International Journal of Consumer Studies	2
10	PloS one	2
11	The Sociological Review	2
12	Waste Management	2

predominantly applied when investigating food waste behaviour (e.g. Graham-Rowe et al., 2015; Stancu et al., 2016; Stefan et al., 2013; Visschers et al., 2016). According to the theory, individual behaviour is determined by the intention to perform the respective behaviour, and thus, the motivation and willingness to act (Ajzen, 1991). Studies employing this socio-psychological framework provide large-scale findings of a great number of people and establish causal relationships between cognitive as well as sociodemographic variables and actions. For instance, they have provided insights into the role of cognitive processes and determinants of behaviour that are internal to the individual i.e. attitudes, norms, knowledge and intentions.

However, these cognitive and intra-personal factors are only partly able to predict intention and - to a lesser extent - actual behaviour (Gatersleben et al., 2002; Stancu et al., 2016). Studies on food waste have indicated that a higher intention to reduce food waste is significantly (Graham-Rowe et al., 2015; Visschers et al., 2016), or somewhat (Stancu et al., 2016), related to a smaller amount of self-reported food waste. In contrast to these findings, however, a study that included planning and shopping routines as additional factors in the model shows that the intention not to waste food does not have a significant effect on reported food waste (Stefan et al., 2013). In other words, even if people have a high intention to reduce food waste, this volition does often not translate into action. Stefan et al. (2013) explain that by the fact that the creation of food waste is not driven by conscious intentions and that food-related household practices (see Section 3.3) seem to be a better indicator for the amount of food wasted. A common explanation for the weak relationship between the intention to reduce food waste and acting upon it, is the 'attitude-behaviour' gap. There is a reported gap between holding environmental attitudes and values, and actually performed environmental behaviour, which has been termed the 'value-action' (Blake, 1999) or 'attitude-behaviour' gap (Boulstridge and Carrigan, 2000; Vermeir and Verbeke, 2006). Thus, cognitive aspects such as attitudes, intentions and motivations are not (always) a good indicator of less food being wasted. Even though contextual factors such e.g. infrastructure are included as external factors, still they have not been included systematically in applied models (Steg and Vlek, 2009).

In response, more sociological contributions, most notably evolving around social practice theory, have provided an additional, complementary lens on the issue of food waste (e.g. Evans, 2011a, b, 2012a, b; Cappellini, 2009; Cappellini and Parsons, 2012; Ganglbauer et al., 2013; Lazell, 2016; Leray et al., 2016; Meah, 2014; Watson and Meah, 2012). A social practice approach broadens the perspective on food waste generation and allows to move beyond individual psychological factors such as attitudes, behaviour, and choice (see e.g. Shove, 2010 for a critical appraisal). What social practice theory offers is a conceptual approach to grasp the socio-temporal nature of practices unfolding in the household. In doing so, theories of social practice acknowledge the individual as embedded in wider social, economic, and cultural facets of everyday life. Practice approaches therefore omit to frame food waste as problem of individuals. Instead, social practice theories account behaviour to wider factors deemed beyond control of individuals which are reflected in the organization and temporal nature of everyday routines (Evans et al., 2012a, b). The scholarly discussion on food waste has benefited from the application of a practice theoretical lens given the shifting of attention to sequences of daily activities around food in households and the social and material contexts of food practices. Analysing food waste generation from a practice theory approach provides insights into the intersection activities, of various actors. materials. spatial-temporal elements and their implications on the generation of food waste (Southerton and Yates, 2014).

Theories of social practice and models of consumer behaviour are social ontologies which offer different conceptualisations of behaviour and change. Even though radical voices regard an appropriate synthesis of the two perspectives as impossible (Shove, 2010), creating an open and constructive dialogue between these stances is increasingly considered to be desirable among researchers, especially in the area of sustainability (e.g. Piscicelli et al., 2015; Whitmarsh et al., 2011). The present article takes a similar approach and reviews empirical evidence of both psychology and social practice theory to examine how and why food gets wasted. Although we recognize that the underlying conceptualisations between the two positions differ considerably and therefore might not be comparable per se, both strands have contributed significantly to a better understanding of the complex phenomena of food waste.

The following section builds predominantly on studies from psychology-oriented approaches that provide insights into consumer concerns, motivations and norms around food waste and their causal relationship on intention to reduce food waste and (self-reported) behaviour. Beyond that, research along the social practice theory provides a nuanced and sophisticated understanding around meanings and perceptions of food waste. Unlike psychological approaches, social practice theory does not support the assumption of a causal one-way relationship between attitudes or values and practices; instead personal values and practices are regarded as dynamic and co-constructive. More precisely, they interact with one another as personal attitudes or values can be shaped by performing a practice as well as through material and social contexts (Hards, 2011).

3.2. Understandings and perceptions of food waste

Generally, consumers consider throwing away food as improper behaviour (Porpino et al., 2015), and although consumers state that they do not generate (much) food waste, or at least less than others (Graham-Rowe et al., 2014; Neff et al., 2015; Qi and Roe, 2016), the vast majority of households indicate that they are at least somewhat concerned about throwing away food (Abeliotis et al., 2014; Evans, 2011a). Concern about food waste is a significant predictor of food waste reduction (Principato et al., 2015) and plays an important role in the intention to reduce food waste (Mondéjar-Jiménez et al., 2016; Stancu et al., 2016; Stefan et al., 2013). People that voice a high environmental concern have a marked aversion towards wasting food (Melbye et al., 2016). This is reflected in statements that it is 'wrong or bad' to waste food (Evans, 2011b; Ganglbauer et al., 2013; Graham-Rowe et al., 2014; Quested et al., 2013). Some consumers also associate food waste with emotions of 'disgust' (Radzyminska et al., 2016; Waitt and Phillips, 2016; Watson and Meah, 2012), 'hate' (Waitt and Phillips, 2016), 'frustration' or 'annoyance' (Graham-Rowe et al., 2014), and 'anxiety' (Evans, 2011a; Graham-Rowe et al., 2014). In addition, a high sense of guilt about throwing away food is expressed by the majority of households (Ganglbauer et al., 2013; Grandhi and Appaiah Singh, 2016; Parizeau et al., 2015; Pearson et al., 2016; Qi and Roe, 2016; Quested et al., 2013; Stefan et al., 2013). Several studies also suggest that guilt in particular may act as an important motivation underlying the reduction of food waste (Neff et al., 2015; Qi and Roe, 2016; Quested et al., 2013) as households that voice more guilt about wasting food produce less food waste (Parizeau et al., 2015). Qi and Roe (2016) even argue that reducing food waste could be motivated by installing feelings of guilt, which then act as a moral norm to handle food less wastefully.

3.2.1. Concerns

Generally, personal concerns, such as saving money, elicit a stronger motivation to redcue food waste than environmental and social concerns (Graham-Rowe et al., 2014; Neff et al., 2015; Stancu et al., 2016). Financial concerns associated with the money that is lost when throwing away food are commonly mentioned as the main motivation for minimizing food waste (Graham-Rowe et al., 2014; Neff et al., 2015), both in gualitative (Blichfeldt et al., 2015; Graham-Rowe et al., 2014: Grandhi and Appaiah Singh, 2016) and quantitative research (Neff et al., 2015; Principato et al., 2015; Oi and Roe, 2016; Stancu et al., 2016). For instance, a study from Greece reveals that the main reason for the reported reduction in food waste lies in spending restrictions at the food provision level as a consequence of the recession (Abeliotis et al., 2014). Equally, a qualitative study from the UK stresses that the avoidance of food waste was mainly driven by thrift and a responsible and economical use of resources (Watson and Meah, 2012). Furthermore, wasting food is considered as a waste of the time put into the provision and preparation of food (Neff et al., 2015; Watson and Meah, 2012).

Interestingly, concerns about the environmental impacts of food waste turn out to be a minor motive to reduce wasteful behaviour (Neff et al., 2015). While consumers raise concerns about global warming and the excess use of resources (Tucker and Farrelly, 2015) or express an environmental consciousness through their beliefs and reported behaviours (Parizeau et al., 2015), environmental concerns rank behind other factors when it comes to reducing food waste (Abeliotis et al., 2014; Graham-Rowe et al., 2014; Pearson et al., 2016; Principato et al., 2015; Quested et al., 2013; Stefan et al., 2013; Watson and Meah, 2012). Two studies in the U.S. have found a modest role of environmental concerns with only 40% (Neff et al., 2015) and 58.4% (Qi and Roe, 2016) of respondents expressing concerns about the environmental consequences associated with throwing away food. Notably, 22% of respondents stated that environmental concerns were not at all important motivations (Neff et al., 2015). Also, it seems that the degree of environmental concern with regards to food waste correlates with sociodemographic factors such as the level of education (Qi and Roe, 2016) or age. Younger persons, for instance, focus more on the financial dimensions of food waste while older people indicate more concern about its social and environmental consequences (Blichfeldt et al., 2015; Tucker and Farrelly, 2015a). Some studies, however, show that people over 65 actually are less engaged with global environmental issues (e.g. Quested et al., 2013).

What could explain the weak role of environmental concerns is a lack of awareness and knowledge about the link between food waste and ecological impacts (Graham-Rowe et al., 2014; Quested et al., 2013; Stefan et al., 2013). For example, Principato et al. (2015) have found that 60% of respondents were strongly convinced that product packaging has a greater environmental impact than food waste. In addition, Watson and Meah (2012) indicate that none of their respondents explicitly raised the link between greenhouse gas emissions and the production of food. Alternatively, consumers may believe their impact to be minimized because of composting food waste or feeding food surplus to their pets (Graham-Rowe et al., 2014; Neff et al., 2015).

3.2.2. Norms and perceived behavioural control

A range of studies have investigated the social and ethical dimension of food waste. For example, Parizeau et al. (2015) highlight that for a majority of respondents food waste is primarily a social issue. In addition, they show that those who regard food waste as a social problem produced less waste. Similarly, Setti et al. (2016) show a high degree of ethical concern related to food waste (86%). Ethical considerations regarding a lack of food in other countries have been noted by a number of authors (Blichfeldt et al., 2015; Ganglbauer et al., 2013; Neff et al., 2015; Pearson et al., 2016). Moreover, there is ample evidence that people are uncomfortable with wasting food due to the perceived value of food itself (Ganglbauer et al., 2013; Graham-Rowe et al., 2014; Watson and Meah, 2012).

The role of norms on the intention and action to reduce food waste has also attracted attention of various scholars. While subjective norms (commonly approved or disapproved behaviours in a culture) seem to have no influence on food waste behaviour per se (Graham-Rowe et al., 2015; Stefan et al., 2013; Visschers et al., 2016), they foster the intention to reduce food waste (Graham-Rowe et al., 2015; Stancu et al., 2016). Personal norms (feeling obliged not to waste food), in contrast, turn out to be a significant direct predictor of the amount of food wasted, implying that if households hold strong personal norms that oppose food waste, they tend to waste less (Visschers et al., 2016). Descriptive norms (an individual's perception of whether social surroundings such as friends, family and neighbours, carry out or avoid certain behaviours), however, are not a significant predictor (Graham-Rowe et al.,

2015). A possible explanation of the weak connection between norms and actual behaviour may be that the amount of food waste occurs not visible to other people so that they cannot be blamed for wasting much.

What turns out to play a crucial role for people's food waste behaviours is perceived behavioural control. Consumers who trust in their ability to reduce their waste and consider reducing food waste under their control, are more likely to reduce food waste directly or at least have a higher intention to do so (Graham-Rowe et al., 2015; Mondéjar-Jiménez et al., 2016; Stancu et al., 2016; Stefan et al., 2013; Visschers et al., 2016).

Looking beyond the individual consumer or household members and related cognitive aspects, the subsequent section situates food waste generation in the broader context of the household, recognizing the understanding that food waste practices are linked with other practices in and around the household.

3.3. Food-related household practices and routines

A growing body of literature has investigated food-related practices and routines in the context of food waste generation. Particularly studies adopting conceptual approaches such as practice theory provide intriguing insights by scrutinizing food waste in relation to daily food-related procedures and processes at the household level (e.g. Wahlen, 2016). Given the complex nature of food waste, household routines (see Fig. 2) such as planning, shopping, storing, cooking, eating, and managing leftovers play a decisive role in food provisioning but also in food waste generation (e.g. Wahlen, 2011, 2016; Evans, 2012). Along all these stages, food items may be assessed with regards to their edibility and consequently either be wasted or re-distributed. Also, various psychological approaches increasingly highlight that routinized household practices such as eating, cooking, and planning (see Section 3) play a key role in food waste generation (e.g. Stefan et al., 2013; Stancu et al., 2016; Visschers et al., 2016).

3.3.1. Planning

Careful planning of grocery shopping is an effective tool to prevent overbuying, and consequently, food waste (Parizeau et al.,



Fig. 2. Food-related practices and routines.

2015; Secondi et al., 2015). Suggested planning strategies encompass writing a shopping list, compiling meal-plans in advance, or checking inventories before shopping. For example, using a shopping list was found to lower the amount of food thrown away per capita by roughly 20% (Jörissen et al., 2015). Farr-Wharton et al. (2014) stress that information about food items stored at home when shopping is crucial to avoid purchasing unnecessary items. Also, communication between household members may help avoid buying the same products twice (Farr-Wharton et al., 2014).

Most people check their food inventory regularly and use a shopping list, whereas more detailed food planning behaviours (such as meal planning and food budgeting) are performed less frequently (Abeliotis et al., 2014; Neff et al., 2015; Parizeau et al., 2015; Rispo et al., 2015; Schmidt, 2016b). Furthermore, consumers who are busy with work and/or leisure time, tend to not look into the fridge prior to shopping, and therefore are more prone to purchase something that is already at home (Ganglbauer et al., 2013).

However, while some studies suggest that meal planning results in less food being wasted (Farr-Wharton et al., 2014; Jörissen et al., 2015; Mallinson et al., 2016; Quested et al., 2013; Stefan et al., 2013), other studies have not found a clear correlation between proper planning and reduced food waste levels (Stancu et al., 2016; Visschers et al., 2016). Nonetheless, stronger planning routines are related to lower reporting of buying unplanned items and big packages (Stancu et al., 2016).

3.3.2. Shopping

Much of the current literature on the drivers of food waste pays particular attention to provisioning and shopping routines. Even though a majority of consumers claim to buy an accurate amount of food (Parizeau et al., 2015), people often follow a routine of buying more food than needed (Evans, 2011a). Overprovisioning of food seems to be one of the most prominent reasons leading to superfluous food (Evans, 2011a; Mallinson et al., 2016; Radzyminska et al., 2016). Identified reasons for overprovisioning include (i) the good provider identity, (ii) differences in taste, (iii) the compensation effect, (iv) time constraints, (v) bulk purchases, and (vi) oversized packaging.

First, the 'good provider identity', which refers to the wish to be a 'good' parent or a 'good' partner, appears to be an important reason for food waste in both qualitative (Evans, 2011a; Graham-Rowe et al., 2014; Porpino et al., 2016) and quantitative (Visschers et al., 2016) studies. This identity is characterized both by the desire to provide an abundance of food as well as the wish to serve food that is perceived to be "proper" (Graham-Rowe et al., 2014); through this, providers express affection and love to their family (Evans, 2011a; Porpino et al., 2016). Usually, food that is healthy and nutritious is considered "proper". Yet, buying healthy food does not necessarily result in its consumption (Evans, 2011a) but to an abundance of perishable foods that are at risk of wastage. Evidence suggests that the good provider identity goes beyond the own household and encompasses guests as well. Being a 'good' host triggers providing an abundance of food for social occasions, as serving not enough or not the right food might be embarrassing (Graham-Rowe et al., 2014). This has been, for example, observed among low-income families in Brazil where having enough food at home is considered a sign of hospitality and wealth (Porpino et al., 2015).

Beyond that, different understandings of 'eating properly' often go along with different tastes among household members which can lead to buying an abundance of food to suit different preferences (Evans, 2011a). Additionally, a 'compensation effect' can occur when people usually eat meals that are perceived as unhealthy, and in order to mitigate guilt they buy an abundance of healthy and perishable food which in turn is often wasted (Porpino et al., 2016).

Overprovisioning of food is also connected to the perceived availability of time. Stockpiling food for unexpected occasions is seen to reduce stress and save time, but could lead to buying more products than one can consume in a timely manner (Ganglbauer et al., 2013; Graham-Rowe et al., 2014). Additionally, a perceived lack of time may prevent one from cooking planned meals for which ingredients have already been bought (Ganglbauer et al., 2013; Watson and Meah, 2012).

It is widely assumed that promotional offers such as "Buy One, Get One Free" (BOGOF) encourage consumers to buy more than actually needed, and thus promote the wasting of food (Farr-Wharton et al., 2014; Graham-Rowe et al., 2014; Mondéjar-Jiménez et al., 2016; Porpino et al., 2015). Even though consumers state that bulk purchases potentially lead to more food waste (Qi and Roe, 2016), several studies point out that food waste amounts are on average lower in households that are more prone to buy discounted food (Jörissen et al., 2015; Koivupuro et al., 2012) or consider low prices an important factor when buying groceries (Jörissen et al., 2015; Koivupuro et al., 2012; Williams et al., 2012). In turn, households that spend more money on groceries per person tend to produce more food waste per person (Parizeau et al., 2015; Setti et al., 2016).

One of the main reported reasons for wasting food mentioned by consumers is the package size of certain products which is often too large and not suitable for people who live alone or as couples, whereas the prices of not pre-packed foods or smaller packages are comparatively high (Evans, 2011a; Graham-Rowe et al., 2014). Williams et al. (2012) state that up to 20–25% of food waste can be related to too large package sizes and difficult-to-empty packaging.

Alongside overprovision, the role of infrastructures of provision, the type of store where grocery is purchased, and shopping frequency have been investigated. Various studies highlight that food is mainly purchased from major supermarket chains, with some households also purchasing from smaller stores and farmers' markets (Farr-Wharton et al., 2014; Jörissen et al., 2015; Parizeau et al., 2015; Yildirim et al., 2016). Jörissen et al. (2015) show that food waste is highest when people exclusively shop in large supermarkets, and decreases when purchasing takes place in different shopping facilities, in small shops and local markets, and is lowest when people also grow their own food. Moreover, Setti et al. (2016) reveal that consumers who buy local products on a regular basis tend to significantly limit (up to 90%) the frequency of wasting vegetables. Ganglbauer et al. (2013), in their qualitative study in Austria, have observed that self-grown and harvested food is less likely to be thrown away because people are more aware of the time and effort that was put into producing it. Alternative food provisioning schemes, such as community-supported agriculture (CSA) might produce even more food waste because consumers are provided with large amounts of greens and vegetables that they may not like or not know how to prepare (Porpino, 2016).

Shopping frequency also seems to influence the amount of food wasted. Jörissen et al. (2015) show that in Germany food waste slightly decreases with increased shopping frequency, whereas in Italy the opposite has been found. Williams et al. (2012), similarly to the result in Germany, observed less food waste in households that purchase groceries more often. Ultimately, shopping for imperfect food could help to prevent food waste in the upper parts of the supply chain. In general, seemingly imperfect foods that deviate from common standards with regards to appearance or best-before dates are accepted by consumers if their deviation is only moderate (Loebnitz and Grunert, 2015; Loebnitz et al., 2015). However, suboptimal foods are perceived less positively with regards to taste, freshness, and safety (de Hooge et al., 2017).

3.3.3. Storing

Systematically storing and categorizing food products (e.g. systematic stacking of newer and older foods, or according to frequency of use) in combination with periodic re-ordering can lower food waste generation (Farr-Wharton et al., 2014; Waitt and Phillips, 2016). During processes of ordering and disposal, food items can be re-examined, re-experienced, and re-valued, e.g. to be used for a meal, replaced within the place of storage, or moved out of it (Waitt and Phillips, 2016). Thus, ordering practices can enhance visibility and prevent forgetting food that is hidden in the back of the refrigerator or cupboard. Space constraints in the fridge in combination with a lack of knowledge about where to best locate certain types of foods often hinder systematic storage. Indeed, a majority of consumers fail to use storing strategies to increase food longevity in their households (Farr-Wharton et al., 2014) and have their fridges set to a higher temperature than recommended which can accelerate the decay of food products (Marklinder and Eriksson, 2015; Terpstra et al., 2005).

Another strategy to prevent food going to waste is the freezing of food, thereby extending the shelf-life of food and leftovers (Martindale, 2014; Quested et al., 2013; Secondi et al., 2015). The strategy's actual potential is not fully realised by households yet (Leray et al., 2016). Visschers et al. (2016) have not found a direct relation between knowledge about storage and amount of food wasted. Knowledge about proper storage may, however, have indirect effects on intention and food waste behaviour through other variables, such as personal attitudes and perceived behavioural control.

3.3.4. Cooking

The review of research on the role of cooking practices for food waste has revealed several key aspects. First, often too much food is prepared which ends up being thrown away (Graham-Rowe et al., 2014; Porpino et al., 2015; Silvennoinen et al., 2014). A greater frequency of cooking is likely to enhance cooking skills such as more precise portion control (Graham-Rowe et al., 2014; Jörissen et al., 2015; Mallinson et al., 2016). Indeed, Secondi et al. (2015) have identified a better estimation of portion sizes as one of the most promising actions to avoid wasting food. Second, families with children find it difficult to predict whether their children will be eating at home at all (Cappellini and Parsons, 2012; Evans, 2011a; Ganglbauer et al., 2013; Porpino et al., 2015). Finally, larger plates induce people to eat more and increase the amount of food wasted (Wansink and Van Ittersum, 2013).

An effective waste prevention strategy is cooking based on what is stored at home (Ganglbauer et al., 2013; Graham-Rowe et al., 2014; Watson and Meah, 2012). Relatively fixed repertoire of recipes, where meals are 'tried and tested' and not improvised with ingredients left in the fridge or cupboards, could potentially be a cause of food being wasted (Evans, 2011a, b). A barrier to 'food waste cooking' is that cooking with what is found in the fridge requires time, knowledge and cooking skills to better utilise food creatively; also, family members might not like new recipes (Cappellini and Parsons, 2012; Evans, 2012b; Farr-Wharton et al., 2014). Finally, Mallinson et al. (2016) have found that consumers who mostly rely on convenience food, both ready-made meals and restaurant take-away, waste more edibles than others.

3.3.5. Eating

Only a few studies investigate the role of eating practices for food waste generation. Some studies suggest that especially households with children generate more waste from meals, given the rather unpredictable eating patterns and preferences of children (Cappellini and Parsons, 2012; Evans, 2011a, 2012). The unpredictability of appetite, albeit for different reasons, holds true also for adults (Ganglbauer et al., 2013). Further, Parizeau et al. (2015) have demonstrated that households members with special diets (e.g. vegetarians) tend to reduce their food waste.

People who spend more money eating out in restaurants report to waste more and express lower levels of guilt for wasting. Interestingly, eating out does not necessarily mean spending less money on groceries. Eating out often is decided spontaneously, so that purchased foods and/or leftovers spoil and are wasted because of more convenient or time-saving options such as going to restaurants (Evans, 2012b; Parizeau et al., 2015). As a result, there seems to be an incongruity between food bought and food actually eaten within a certain time frame. This unpredictability of eating patterns, driven by unexpected dinner invitations or spontaneously spending time with friends, leads to foods remaining uneaten and, in turn, wasted (Evans, 2012; Ganglbauer et al., 2013; Waitt and Phillips, 2016). Furthermore, some respondents experience an inner conflict that revolves around finishing all the food provided on their plate to reduce food waste on the one hand, and avoiding eating too much to maintain a healthy, slim body on the other hand (Hoek et al., 2017; Pearson et al., 2016).

3.3.6. Managing leftovers

Reusing leftovers is considered one of the most effective strategies to combat food waste at the household level (Secondi et al., 2015). Those who regularly eat leftovers produce less food waste (Stancu et al., 2016; Stefan et al., 2013). Yet, even though reusing leftovers is appreciated for its time-, labour-, and money-saving qualities (Cappellini, 2009; Waitt and Phillips, 2016), its realization often faces considerable barriers. Households have problems in assessing the durability of leftovers and therefore tend to be concerned by safety issues when considering them for reuse (Farr-Wharton et al., 2014). People who have a lower risk perception when consuming leftovers (of getting poisoned by food), throw away less food (Principato et al., 2015; Visschers et al., 2016). Also, eating leftovers is frequently associated with feelings of sacrifice and thrift for the good of the family (Cappellini, 2009; Cappellini and Parsons, 2012). Serving leftovers to children is sometimes accompanied with a sense of guilt for not caring properly for them (Cecere et al., 2014). Beyond that, people often find it boring to eat the same meal repeatedly (Cappellini, 2009) or have an aversion to reheating leftovers, because those are perceived to offer less quality and freshness. Using parts of the old dish and make a completely new one out of it often requires too much time and efforts (Cappellini and Parsons, 2012).

When stored, leftovers are often misplaced, forgotten and/or stored for too long in the fridge and therefore expire more frequently (Blichfeldt et al., 2015; Farr-Wharton et al., 2014; Waitt and Phillips, 2016). In line with that, more attention has recently been paid to the notion of 'procrastination' (Blichfeldt et al., 2015; Evans, 2012b; Waitt and Phillips, 2016), i.e. postponing the unpleasant experience of throwing away leftovers until they are sufficiently spoiled and finally must be discarded (Waitt and Phillips, 2016). People usually feel less guilty about binning food that has gone bad compared to food that may still be edible or simply has aesthetic flaws. Finally, serving leftovers is less acceptable when guests are invited for a meal as it is important to present the family in the best possible light (Cappellini, 2009; Cappellini and Parsons, 2012).

3.3.7. Assessing edibility

The ways in which the edibility of food is assessed varies profoundly across consumers (Blichfeldt et al., 2015). Commonly, people use multiple strategies for assessing the edibility of their food (Parizeau et al., 2015), such smelling or tasting as well as checking whether the "best before" date has passed. A less common strategy is to track how long food items have been opened or stored (Neff et al., 2015; Parizeau et al., 2015). Respondents who use to discard food after it has been stored in the refrigerator for several days tend to produce more overall food waste than others. By contrast, fewer waste is produced in households that infrequently throw away food that has passed its best before date. In other words people who use more nuanced assessments of food edibility (using own senses) are wasting less food (Parizeau et al., 2015). However, if respondents use many different criteria to assess edibility out of fear of possible food risks, they throw away more food (Parizeau et al., 2015; Van Garde and Woodburn, 1987). Williams et al. (2012) indicate that respondents with greater environmental commitment waste less food that has passed its 'best before date'. The reason may be that they make more use of their sensory skills and/or are more prone to eating 'expired' food.

Various studies highlight that people experience a conflict between trying to avoid food waste and protecting themselves from food-related health risks (Blichfeldt et al., 2015; Evans, 2011a). Here, concerns about food safety tend to outweigh others, such as wasting food (Graham-Rowe et al., 2014; Meah, 2014; Waitt and Phillips, 2016). Indeed, concerns about foodborne illnesses, together with a desire to eat fresh food, are prominent reasons for discarding food (Lanfranchi et al., 2016; Neff et al., 2015; Qi and Roe, 2016). People who think that it is better to throw away leftovers than to risk eating unsafe food are less likely to reduce food waste (Principato et al., 2015).

Generally, there is much confusion about different kinds of labels (Abeliotis et al., 2014; Hall-Phillips and Shah, 2017; Yildirim et al., 2016) which may cause people to interpret any date label as a 'use by date', and therefore throw away all food items that 'have expired' although they are actually still safe to eat (Melbye et al., 2016; Silvennoinen et al., 2014). In contrast, Visschers et al. (2016), have not found a correlation between enhanced knowledge about date labels and the amount of food wasted.

3.3.8. Disposal/redistribution

The way in which food is disposed of also influences the amount of food wasted. Considerable amounts of food waste are given to pets (Wenlock et al., 1980). A focus on disposal practices, such as recycling or composting, often undermines people's motivation for waste prevention (Cecere et al., 2014; Tucker and Farrelly, 2015). For instance, people consider the food that is fed to animals or composted not as waste (Neff et al., 2015; Pearson et al., 2016; Porpino et al., 2015). Recycling may even induce an increase in waste production by mitigating the guilt associated with wasteful consumption (Catlin and Wang, 2012).

The evidence base on the recirculation and redistribution of surplus food is scarce. While gifting among close family members is not unusual, giving cooked food to others seems to be a more uncommon practice, possibly because people do not want to expose their culinary competence to strangers as the food could be perceived as 'bad' (e.g. not sophisticated, tasting poorly, or consisting of low-quality ingredients) or it could make people ill (Evans, 2012b; Lazell, 2016).

3.4. Socio-demographic characteristics

While one would expect that socio-demographic factors provide (at least some) predictive power with regards to the generation of food waste, the empirical evidence is far from clear. Instead, it is hardly possible to single out any socio-demographic factor(s) as explanatory variable(s) for food waste generation. Some studies, however, suggest that a combination of different sociodemographic factors may indicate the amount of food wasted in households (Quested et al., 2013).

There is, for example, no consensus about how far food waste generation is subject to age. While most studies report a negative correlation between the amount of food wasted and age (Secondi et al., 2015; Stancu et al., 2016; Van Garde and Woodburn, 1987; Visschers et al., 2016), others indicate that older people waste more (Cecere et al., 2014). However, generally, people over 65 years of age tend to waste less food (Quested et al., 2013), which is commonly explained by different attitudes towards food and frugality as well as a greater knowledge of the impacts of food waste compared to younger individuals (Qi and Roe, 2016). The evidence is also mixed when it comes to gender: while some studies report that women produce less food waste (Cecere et al., 2014; Secondi et al., 2015), others indicate that gender does not have a significant effect (Principato et al., 2015), that females waste more (Visschers et al., 2016), or that if a woman is responsible for grocery shopping in the household, more food is wasted (Koivupuro et al., 2012; Silvennoinen et al., 2014).

While there seems to be no strong correlation between education level and food waste (Cecere et al., 2014; Neff et al., 2015), some studies indicate that employment status is potentially associated with food waste generation, i.e. that employed people tend to produce more food waste (Cecere et al., 2014) compared to individuals not in the labour force (Secondi et al., 2015). Also, people who are full-time employed commonly feel that they have less time to worry about food waste (Qi and Roe, 2016). Temporal constraints due to high workload are also identified as drivers for food waste (Jörissen et al., 2015). Thus, full-time employment could have a negative effect on the amount of food wasted. Some studies find a positive correlation between income and food waste (Ganglbauer et al., 2013; Stancu et al., 2016) or report that households with different income levels differ in particular with regards to their attitudes towards food waste reduction (Principato et al., 2015; Qi and Roe, 2016) as well as with regards to which type of food is wasted (Setti et al., 2016). Other studies, however, find no correlation between income and food waste (Koivupuro et al., 2012; Visschers et al., 2016; Wenlock et al., 1980) or income and food waste attitudes (Melbye et al., 2016).

Smaller households produce less waste than larger ones while the amount of food waste generated per capita decreases with increasing household size (Jörissen et al., 2015; Koivupuro et al., 2012; Parizeau et al., 2015; Quested et al., 2013; Silvennoinen et al., 2014; Stancu et al., 2016; Tucker and Farrelly, 2015; Visschers et al., 2016; Wenlock et al., 1980). Households with children tend to produce more food waste (Parizeau et al., 2015; Visschers et al., 2016), potentially because of time and money constraints (Parizeau et al., 2015), parents paying high attention to food quality (Terpstra et al., 2005), feeling less knowledgeable about how to avoid food waste (Neff et al., 2015), or due to unpredictable eating behaviour and food preferences of children (Jörissen et al., 2015; Neff et al., 2015). Single households are wasting the most on a per capita basis (Jörissen et al., 2015; Koivupuro et al., 2012; Silvennoinen et al., 2014) which is linked to the lifestyles of single persons (Ganglbauer et al., 2013). Also, studies report that individuals living in urban areas produce more food waste (Cecere et al., 2014; Secondi et al., 2015); others find no significant relationship between urban residence and self-reported food waste behaviour (Neff et al., 2015).

4. Discussion: key leverage points for household food waste prevention

In order to meet the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG 12), including the target to halve per-capita food waste at the consumer level by 2030, a multifaceted approach and a combination of measures is essential. Despite the growing attention on food waste on the policy level, current approaches mainly concentrate on awareness raising and information provision in order to correct information deficiencies, modify attitudes, or eliminate barriers on an individual level (Evans et al., 2012a, b). Yet, a coherent and holistic policy framework that triggers appropriate action beyond the individual level and empowers actors along the supply chain is missing. In the subsequent section, we discuss a set of policy measures, possible actions for producers and retailers as well as mobile applications that could help to reduce food waste on the household level. Table 3 provides an overview of food waste prevention mechanisms that are described briefly in this section and which were developed based on factors and practices that drive wastage outlined in Section 3.

4.1. Policy initiatives

4.1.1. Economic instruments

Economic incentives aim to reduce food waste through costs or other market signals (Driesen, 2006; FUSIONS, 2016). They can be categorized into fees, taxes, and subsidies. Financial instruments are considered a powerful tool to shift consumption patterns towards more sustainable food practices (Reisch et al., 2013). It is assumed that if the real cost of natural resource use is reflected in prices, consumers are more likely to become active in food waste prevention (UNEP, 2014). The volume- or weight-based fee system "Pay-As-You-Throw" (PAYT) is a common approach that has been implemented in different countries, such as the United States, Sweden, Canada, Japan, Taiwan, Korea, Thailand, Vietnam and China (UNEP. 2014). In these countries, charging households for personally generated waste has been found to be an effective scheme to reduce food waste (Chalak et al., 2016; Dahlén and Lagerkvist, 2010; EEA, 2009). Currently, however, far too little is known about the effectiveness of taxes and fees. Beyond, taxes and fees, subsidizing workplace canteens or school lunches might help to shift the main meal outside of the home and consequently release some time pressure and reduce the routine of buying too much (Evans, 2014).

4.1.2. Regulations

Regulatory approaches, including waste reduction targets such as laws and standards, mandatory management plans, restrictions or covenants, aim to induce waste reduction and prevention behaviour through penalties for actors who do not comply with regulatory provisions. So far, regulations have been adopted in various countries, such as France, Italy, Belgium and the Netherlands. The National Pact against Food Waste in France, for instance, outlines eleven measures to achieve a food waste reduction of 50% by 2025 (Mourad, 2015). One potential regulatory instrument is the review and elimination of unneccessary food-safety standards that lead to high food waste rates. In comparison to fiscal and economic incentives, well-defined regulations seem to be a more effective tool to combat household food waste generation (Chalak et al., 2016).

4.1.3. Information and education campaigns

Information campaigns present one of the most widespread tools used for food waste prevention and reduction (Priefer et al., 2016). Information and education campaigns, information platforms and face-to-face door-stepping campaigns have been implemented all over Europe to improve consumer's knowledge and raise awareness about food waste prevention.

Concrete, current examples are the "Stop Food Waste Programme" in Ireland, "Lebensmittel sind kostbar!" in Austria, or "Think.Eat.Save Reduce your Foodprint" in Europe. The British "Love Food Hate Waste" campaign is by far the most successful food waste awareness campaign in Europe. Operated by WRAP and sponsored by governments across the UK and Europe, the campaign claims to have helped preventing 137,000 tons of food waste since 2007 (e.g. WRAP, 2012a). Finally, door-stepping campaigns that focus on face-to-face contact with residents may lead to meaningful behavioural changes (Fahy and Davies, 2007; Farrelly and Tucker, 2014; Rispo et al., 2015).

In order to be effective, information initiatives have to specifically address the specific knowledge gaps that drive wasteful practices. With regards to food storing, for instance, there is a need to assist consumers in building knowledge and skills around systematic food storage practices and freezing strategies (WRAP, 2012b, 2017). Moreover, it is crucial to provide information on the shelf-life of fresh food and leftovers (Farr-Wharton et al., 2014; Jörissen et al., 2015). Waste cooking courses can help households to reduce food waste and make their cooking repertoire more flexible (Mondéjar-Jiménez et al., 2016). Education on the meaning of date labelling (Newsome et al., 2014) combined with efforts to increase the acceptability of imperfect food (e.g. food that is less fresh, less aesthetically attractive, or nearing its expiration date) will also be a key component in counteracting confusion among households (Neff et al., 2015).

Schmidt (2016a) points out in her intervention study that it is crucial to personalize information on waste-preventing behaviours for respective target groups rather than providing general lists of all possible measures. With regards to information channels, Qi and Roe (2016) as well as Tucker and Farrelly (2015) show that leaflets, word of mouth, and television shows or movies are especially effective ways to deliver information. In contrast, Principato et al. (2015) find a significant relationship between reduced food waste and information provided both online and in traditional newspapers. Finally, interventions that place a household's food waste level in relation to societal averages or a socially-endorsed goal (benchmarking) result in stronger norm activation (Porpino et al., 2016).

4.2. Business and retailer solutions

4.2.1. Packaging

The nature of packaging, its size and its labelling affect the lifespan of food (Priefer et al., 2016; Quested et al., 2013; Wikström et al., 2014). To extend the lifespan of food, intelligent packaging innovation and new technologies with improved protection, communication, convenience, and containment are slowly entering the market (Vanderroost et al., 2014). Various technologies aim at extending the shelf-life of food, such as Multilayer Barrier Packaging and Modified Atmosphere Packaging (Verghese et al., 2015). The most prominent technology - the Modified Atmosphere Packaging - alters the atmosphere inside the package by a natural interaction between the respiration rate of the product and the transfer of gases through the packaging material (Oliveira et al., 2015). Various consumer studies indicate that re-sealable, easierto-empty packages, and a greater variety of product sizes can reduce food losses (e.g. Verghese et al., 2015; WRAP, 2017; Williams et al., 2012). Financial incentives could probably encourage food producers to establish enhanced packaging solutions. Finally, less packaging on perishable food could allow consumers to pick exactly the amount of food they require and thus avoid over-buying. Evans (2014) suggests to provide fresh, mixed vegetables in pre-made packages to aid the preparation of certain dishes.

4.2.2. Date-labelling

Date-labelling on packages is a key instrument of food policy, situated between production, retailing and consumption (Milne, 2012). As already indicated in Section 3, a lack of knowledge

 Table 3

 Underlying reasons for food waste in households and possible prevention measures.

Sec of avaenes alout the anioant of food wated - Measure around scaling of Le measuring a household's food wate measuring a household's food wate measuring a household's food wate scale (month) (%) Instriction concern about food wate and intervent of the scale and wates and a vertice water of the scale and wates and water of the scale and water of the scale and water of the scale and wates and water of the scale and	Underlying reasons for food waste	Measures to reduce and prevent food waste
Lack of assumes should the samular of food wasted - Messure around social provides messaring a household's food wasted Installinet cancers about food wasted - Messures around social provides messaring a household's food wasted Installinet cancers about food wasted - Door serging ingring the data for social averages or a wastile weatment place for social averages or a wasted weatment collection (P) The data for the samulation of the data for the dat	Understandings and perceptions of food waste	
Date of matrix to find used to inform the find of matrix Interficient concern about for load matrix Interficient concern about food wate Interficient concernance of a concernance and conce	Lack of awareness about the amount of food wasted	- Massures around social proof i.e. massuring a household's food waste
Bised finite concern about food waste Interfactor concern about food waste Approximation of the concern about food waste Insufficient concern about food waste Interfactor food source of the concern about food waste Approximation of the concern about food waste Led of trust in order boutched waste Interfactor food source on about food waste and campaigner with a province waste data (grant) Interfactor food source on about food waste and campaigner with a province waste data (grant) Led of trust in order boutched waste Interfactor food source on about food waste and campaigner with a province waste data (grant) Led of practice of dod source household waste Interfactor food source on about food waste data (grant) Led of practice of dod source on dod source on order province waste (grant) Interfactor food source on the concernities (grant) Difference in target constraints Information campaigns on what is a food waste (grant) Information campaigns on planning c.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) Difference on first for food source and constraints Information campaigns on what are constraints Information campaigns on what are constraints Difference on first for food source and constraints Information campaigns on what are constraints Information campaigns on what are constraints Difference on first for food source and unsystematic storage practices Information campaigns on what are constraints <	Lack of awareness about the amount of food wasted	- Measures around social proof i.e. measuring a nousehold's root waste
 Takes and fees such as PAY schemes on food waste and mandatory separate collection (P) The standing charging interview in a separate collection (P) The standing charging interview in a sectar problem (P) The standing charging interview is a mervironmental consequences Lock of trust in order ability to cell the bouched waste Factor ability to cell the bouched waste waste factor in the sector ability to cell the bouched waste due to comparing the bouched waste waste factor in the sector ability to cell the bouched waste due to composition of the sector ability to cell the bouched waste due to composition of the sector ability to cell the bouched waste due to composition of the sector ability to cell the bouched waste due to composition of the sector ability to cell the bouched waste due to composition of the sector ability to cell the bouched waste due to composition of the sector ability to cell the bouched waste due to composition of the sector ability to cell the bouched waste due to composition of the sector ability of t		level and placing it in perspective of societal averages or a socially-endorsed goal (P)
 Boots resping campaigns, Honelabs, Per-supported processes, Alian research (P. R.) Improved adultability of dod water ian environmental, accountic, and sould problem (P) Regulations and comparison on why food water ian environmental, accountic, and sould problem (P) Regulations and comparison on why food water ian environmental, accountic, and sould problem (P) Regulations and comparison on why food water ian environmental, accountic, and sould problem (P) Regulations and comparison on why food water ian environmental, accountic, and sould problem (P) Regulations and comparison on why food water ian environmental, accountic, and sould problem (P) Regulations and comparison on why food water ian environmental, accountic, and sould problem (P) Regulations and comparison on an environmental process. Account and account (P) Regulations and comparison on an environmental process. Account accounties (P) Regulations and the food inventory (B) Information campaigns on planning e.g. shorpping lists and menal plans (P) Robital explanation on the food inventory (B) Robital explanation and account (R) Robital explanation and account (R) Robital explanation account (R) <li< td=""><td></td><td>- Taxes and fees such as PAY schemes on food waste and mandatory separate collection (P)</td></li<>		- Taxes and fees such as PAY schemes on food waste and mandatory separate collection (P)
 Instructions come about food waste and emproved availability of food waste is an environmental, economic, and social problem (P) is egulations (waste reduction targets, law and standards, mandatory management plans) (P) is egulations (waste reduction targets, law and standards, mandatory management plans) (P) is egulations (waste reduction targets, law and standards, mandatory management plans) (P) is egulations (waste reduction targets, law and standards, mandatory management plans) (P) is egulations (waste reduction targets, law and standards, mandatory management plans) (P) is egulations (waste reduction targets, law and standards, mandatory management plans) (P) is egulations (waste reduction targets, law and standards, mandatory management plans) (P) is egulations (waste reduction targets, law and standards, mandatory management plans) (P) is egulated availability of food waste is an environmental, economic, and social problem (P) is egulated availability of food waste is an environmental, economic, and social problem (P) is egulated availability of food waste is an environmental, economic, and social problem (P) is egulated availability of food waste is an environmental, economic, and social problem (P) is egulated availability of food waste is an environmental, economic, and social problem (P) is egulated availability of food waste is and example availability of food waste is an environmental, economic, and social problem (P) is egulated availability of food waste is an environmental, economic, and social problem (P) is egulated availability of food waste is an environmental. Economic and social problem (P) is egulated availability of food waste is an environmental, economic, and social problem (P) is egulated availability of food waste is equilability of		- Door stepping campaigns, HomeLabs, Peer-supported processes, Action research (P, R)
Insufficient concern about food wate Missing link between bod wate and environmental consequences Lock of trust in order abluty to refue bousehold wate (lack of parenew behavioural control) Acceptance of water decision at none (lack of parenew behavioural control) Acceptance of water decision at none Lack of aparning of food saleps and accins at none Lack of aparning accins foost at near accins and accins and accins and and accins accins and accins and accins and accins		- Improved availability of food waste data (R&D)
Nissing link between food votes and environmental consequences = legulations (wate reduction furgets, have and standards, mandaroy management plans) (P) Lack of tracts in ones ability to reduce bousehold water (lack of parents) = legulations (wate reduction furgets, have and standards, mandaroy management plans) (P) Acceptance of watering food as a social norm = legulations (wate reduction furgets, have and standards, mandaroy management plans) (P) Acceptance of watering food as a social norm = legulations (wate reduction furgets, have and standards, mandaroy management plans) (P) Acceptance of watering food as a social norm = legulations (wate reduction furgets, have and standards, mandaroy management plans) (P) Acceptance of nords supply and location at norm (more constraints) = information campaigns on planning e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) Bore more plansing food is shall, universands, on dimension of the location at more markets, and standards, mandaroy management plans) (P) = Notice shall plansing (P) Storage = information campaigns on planning e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) = Notice shall plansing e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) Storage = information campaigns on planning e.g. shopping lists and meal plansing (P) = Notice shall plansing e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) Storage flacked stand = information campaigns on planning e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) = Notice shall plansing e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P)	Insufficient concern about food waste	- Information campaigns on why food waste is an environmental economic and social problem (P)
 environmental consequences environmento consequences environmento consequences	Missing link between feed waste and	Populations (which reduction targets have and standards mandatory management plane) (\mathbf{P})
Environmentation competitions Lack or functions Lack or functions Acceptance of wasting food as a social norm Food-Related household practices and routing Productions for display and competitions Acceptance of wasting food as a social norm Food-Related household practices and routing Productions		- Regulations (waste reduction targets, laws and standards, mandatory management plans) (r)
Lack of provide behaviouring (P) = Educational programs and campaigns aimed at promoting voltand antrol (P) Acceptance of washing food as a social norm = Communication campaigns focused on strengthening the belief that seesing focus is built universary and immunol (P) Educational programs and campaigns aimed at promoting voltand antrol (P) = Educational programs and campaigns aimed at promoting voltand antrol (P) Food-Related household practices and norm = Educational programs and campaigns aimed at promoting voltand antrol (P) Educational programs and campaigns aimed at promoting voltand antrol (P) = Educational programs and campaigns aimed at promoting voltand (P) Educational programs and campaigns aimed at promoting voltand programs and campaigns aimed at promoting voltand (P) = Educational programs and campaigns aimed at promoting voltand (P) Educational programs and campaigns aimed at promoting voltand programs and campaigns aimed at promoting voltand (P) = Educational programs and campaigns aimed at promoting voltand (P) Educational programs and campaigns aimed at promoting voltand (P) = Educational programs and campaigns aimed at promoting voltand (P) Educational programs and campaigns aimed at promoting voltand programs and campaigns and respond (P) = Educational programs and campaigns aimed at promoting voltand (P) Educational programs and campaigns and training of product standard (P) = Provision of affingen (P) = Provision of affingen (P) = Provision of admoting (P) = Provision	environmental consequences	
(Lack of precived behavioural control) - Communication campaigns focused on strengthening the belief that wasting foods is fail, unnecessary and immoral (P) Acceptance of wasing foods a boy and meals cack of control nods suppy and location at home frades (B, RAL) - Information campaigns on glanning e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) Lack of control nods suppy and location at home frades (B, RAL) - Making e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) Lock of control nods suppy and location at home frades (B, RAL) - Making e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) Communication frace (B, RAL) - Making e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) Control in frace (B, RAL) - Making e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) Control in frace (B, RAL) - Making e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) Control in frace (B, RAL) - Making e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) Control in frace (B, RAL) - Making e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) Control in frace (B, RAL) - Making e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) Control in frace (B, RAL) - Shopping in smaller shops, for meres markers, grow own find (H) Control in frace (B, RAL) - Shopping in smaller shops, for meres marker, grow own find (H) Control in frace (B, RAL) - Inproved packaging (re-scalable, protonoging shelf-life of food) and specific strong grid life (S, RAL) Improved nackaging (re-scalable, protonog control) <td>Lack of trust in onés ability to reduce household waste</td> <td> Educational programs and campaigns aimed at promoting volitional control (P) </td>	Lack of trust in onés ability to reduce household waste	 Educational programs and campaigns aimed at promoting volitional control (P)
Acceptance of waxing field as a social norm for d-Related household practices and routines for d-Related household practices for d-Related household members for d-Related	(lack of perceived behavioural control)	
Construction wasting foods is bad, unincessary and immonal (P) Planning Information campaigns on planning e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) Lack of orarrol on food sapply and location at home in the particulation between household members in the control on food sapply and location at home in the control on food sapply and location at home in the control on food sapply and location at home in the control on food sapply and location at home in the control on food sapply and location at home in the control on food sapply and location at home in the control on food sapply and location at home in the control on food sapply and location at home in the control on food sappling control stappling control stappling control stappling control stappling insulies in the control stappling insulies in the control in frights (R) insurantice separation of food (R) Storage - Storage frights and unsystematic storage practices Improved packaging (re-scalability of foods that are color and disc (P) - Revision of food product standards (P) - Revision of food reproduct standare reprint reproduct on the resprint reproduct reproduc	Acceptance of wasting food as a social norm	- Communication campaigns focused on strengthening the belief that
Food-Related household practices and routines Information campaigns on planning e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) Lack of planning of food shopping and meals - Lack of control no food supply and location at home - Shopping - Control relation at the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state of the stat	······································	wasting foods is had unnecessary and immoral (P)
Control of planting interves in traditional meaks - Information campaigns on planning e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) Cack of planning food shopping and meaks - Information campaigns on planning e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) Shopping - Smart fridges (B, R&D) Differences in tase - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) Differences in tase - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) Shopping routines focused on major supermarket chains - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) Shopping froutines focused on major supermarket chains - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) Pre-made packages of noted vegetables (B) - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) Storage - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) Improper and unsystematic storage practices - Shopping in smaller shops, farmers markets, grow own own (A) Torage attemport in recording with leftores - Supply of ab-Depting for farmer packages (B) Cooking - Supply of ab-Depting for smaller shops, farmers markets, grow own ond (P) Deverperation of food (e.g., portion control) - Information campaigns and training offers on food storage and freezing (P) Lack of invokedge about shofts for cooking with left	Food-Pelated household practices and routines	washing loods is bad, dimeeessary and minioral (1)
Planning Enformation comparison on planning e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) Lack of portion for dod supply and meals - Information comparison on planning e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P) Cook provider identity - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) Differences in taste - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) Comparison effect - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) Differences in taste - Subsidized workplace cancers or school lunches (P) Oversized packaging - Subsidized workplace cancers or school lunches (P) Storage - Subsidized workplace cancers or school lunches (P) Storage (First Storage practices) - Storage (First Storage practices) Improper and unsystematic storage practices - Storage (First Storage practices) Improper and unsystematic storage practices - Storage (First Storage practices) Improper and unsystematic storage practices - Storage (First Storage practices) Improper and unsystematic storage practices - Storage (First Storage practices) Improper and unsystematic storage practices - Storage (First Storage practices) Improper and unsystematic storage practices - Storage (First Storage practices) Improper and unsystematic storage practices - Noal	Disarias	
Lack of paraming of tood shapping and meals - Information campaigns on planning e.g. shopping its and meal plans (P) Lack of council on do shupping and location at home indications that list food inventory (B) - Mobile applications that list food inventory (B) Outcomposition of force - Mobile applications that list food inventory (B) Differences in taske - Subsidized workplace cancers or school lunches (P) Oversized packaging - Subsidized workplace cancers or school lunches (P) Shopping routines focused on major supermarket chains - Subsidized workplace cancers or school lunches (P) Cack of acceptance of inperfect food - Subsidized workplace cancers or school lunches (P) Lack of acceptance of inperfect food - Singer Improper and unsystematic storage practices - Singer fiftinger (B, Red) Improper and unsystematic storage practices - Singer fiftinger (B, Red) Improper and unsystematic storage practices - Singer fiftinger (B, Red) Improper and unsystematic storage practices - Singer fiftinger (B, Red) Improper and unsystematic storage practices - Singer fiftinger (B, Red) Improper and unsystematic storage practices - Singer fiftinger (B, Red) Improper and unsystematic storage practices - Singer fiftinger (B, Red) Imprefere ator of food (e.g., portion control) <td>Plaining</td> <td></td>	Plaining	
Lack of course on food supply and location at homes - Smart fridges (B, R&D) indequate communication between household members - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) indequate communication between household members - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) indequate communication between household members - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) indequate communication between household members - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) indequate communication between household members - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) indequate communication between household members - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) indequate communication between household members - Pre-vision of different package sizes (B) indepact communication between household members - Subsidied workplace cancers or school lunches (P) Deversion of different package sizes (B) - Subsidied workplace cancers and science (R) Storage - Singer fiftings in mixed communication participate designs (B, RAD) Cooking - Singer fiftings in mixed and using kitchen devices for better portion control (II) Cooking - Training or on incides with leffores Preference for for des portion control - Training or onking with leffores Preference of for des portion control - Training or onking with leffores	Lack of planning of food shopping and meals	- Information campaigns on planning e.g. shopping lists and meal plans (P)
Inadequate communication between household members Shopping - Mobile applications that list food inventory (B) Shopping - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) Ifferences in taste - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) Oversized packaging - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) Derestized packaging - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) Derestized packaging - Subsidied workplace cancers or school lunches (P) Derestized packaging - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) Derestized packaging - Subsidied workplace cancers or school lunches (P) Derestized packaging - Subsidied workplace cancers or school lunches (P) Lak of acceptance of imperfect food - Subsidied workplace cancers or school lunches (P) Revision of flood product standards (P) - Revision of flood product standards (P) Storage - Subsidied workplace cancers - Subsidied workplace cancers Improved fackage (P) - Supplay of sub-optimum command information campaigns sub-link flood or school - Information campaigns sub-link flood or school Oversized according school flood (e.g. portion control) - Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) - Provision of mobile applications, platforms, books and courses on waste cooking (P, B)	Lack of control on food supply and location at home	- Smart fridges (B, R&D)
Shopping Cood provider identity Differences in tate Compensation effect - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) n/a Shopping routines focused on major supermarket chains Prevension addataging - Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (B) - Provision of different package sizes (B) - Less packaging on perishable fond (B, R) - Subping in smaller shops, famers manufacts, forware monitod (H) - Subping in smaller shops, famers manufacts, forware monitod (H) - Supply of sub-optimal foods at a discount (R) Storage Improper and unsystematic storage practices - Substitution (S, P) - Supply of sub-optimal foods at a discount (R) Over-preparation of food (eg. portion control) Over-preparation of food (eg. portion control) Dever-preparation of food (eg. portion control) Dever preparation of food (eg. portion control) Deveffector cortical preparation of food (eg.	Inadequate communication between household members	- Mobile applications that list food inventory (B)
Cool provider identity Compensation effect Inter constraints Oversized packaging- Pre-made packages of mixed vegetables (8) r/aOur sized packaging- Subsidized workplace canteens or school lunches (P) - Provision of different package sizes (B) - Provision of different package si	Shopping	
Differences in taste r.j.a Compensation effect r.j.a Time constraints - Subsidied workplace carteens or school lunches (P) Oversized packaging - Provision of difference package sizes (P) Lack of acceptance for fresh food/ - Subsidied workplace carteens or school lunches (P) Lack of acceptance of inserver the acceptability of food (R, R) - Subpring in smaller shops, farmers markets, grow own food (H) Storage - Simpting in smaller shops, farmers markets, grow own food (H) - Education efforts to foster the acceptability of food) Storage innymous difference of fresh food/ - Simpting in smaller shops, farmers markets, grow own food (H) Storage innymous difference of food product standards (P) - Simpting in smaller information campaigns and training offers on food storage and freezing (P) Improved packaging is difference ofference food storage and freezing (P) - Having a patity or outdoor earth cells to store food (H) Cooking - Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Lack of knowledge and skills for cooking with leftovers - Serving food on smaller plates (H) Provision of food (e.g. portion control) - Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Lack of knowledge about shell-life of food and herovers - Serving food on smaller plates (H)	Good provider identity	- Pre-made nackages of mixed vegetables (B)
Construction The set of the set	Differences in taste	
Compensator textDiversionSubsidized workplace cancens or school lunches (P)Dersized packaging- Provision of different package sizes (P)- Ises packaging on perishable food (R, R)- grow own food (H)- Ise packaging on perishable food (R, R)- grow own food (H)- Ise packaging on perishable food (R, R)- grow own food (H)- Ise packaging on perishable food (R, R)- grow own food (H)- Ise packaging on perishable food (R, R)- grow own food (H)- Ise packaging on perishable food (R, R)- grow own food (H)- Ise packaging on perishable food (R, R)- grow own food (H)- Ise packaging on perishable food (R, R)- grow own food (H)- Ise packaging on perishable food (R, R)- grow own food (H)- Supply of sub-optimal foods at a discount (R)- grow own food (H)- Supply of sub-optimal foods at a discount (R)- grow own food (R, R)- Improved temperature control in fridges (B)- information campaigns and training offers on food storage and freezing (P)- Having a pantry or outdoor earth cellar to store food (H)- Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H)- Lack of knowledge and skills for cooking with lettovers- n/aEating- n/aLack of knowledge about shells for food and ables- n/aEating flotovers is perceived as sacrifice, thrift- Sharing food an smaller plates (H)- Lack of knowledge about shells for food and how to extend it- Sharing food and lettovers (H)- Lack of knowledge about shell file of food and how to extend it- Sharing food	Componention officer	nja
Inne constraints - Subsidied workplace cancers or school lunces (P) Oversized packaging - Provision of different package size (B) Lak of acceptance of imperfect food - Subsidied workplace cancers a school lunces (P) Lak of acceptance of imperfect food - Subsidied workplace cancers a school lunces (P) Storage - Subsidied workplace cancers a school lunces (P) Improper and unsystematic storage practices - Smart fridges & innovative domestic refrigerator designs (B, RAD) - Improper and unsystematic storage practices - Smart fridges & innovative domestic refrigerator designs (B, RAD) - Veer-prepatition of food (e.g. portion control) - Smart fridges & innovative domestic refrigerator designs (P) - Veer-prepatition of food (e.g. portion control) - Training of cooking skills and using offers on food storage and freezing (P) - Laking-out in restarunts - Training of cooking skills and using offers on food storage and freezing (P) - Laking or in restarunts - Training of cooking skills and using offers on food storage and freezing (P) - Laking or in restarunts - Serving food on smaller plates (H) - Dark of knowledge abot shell for of dod and physic shows and courses on waste cooking (P, B) - n/a - Lating-out in restarunts - Serving food an smaller plates (H) - N/a - Lak of knowledge about shelite of food	compensation effect	
Oversized packaging - Provision of different package sizes (B) Shopping routines focused on major supermarket chains - Resp ackaging on perishable food (B, R) Lack of acceptance of imperfect food - Shopping in smaller shops, farmers markets, prowown food (H) Lack of acceptance of imperfect food - Shopping in smaller shops, farmers markets, prowown food (H) Storage - Supply of sub-optimal foods at a discount (R) Storage - Sinart fridges & innovative domestic refigerator designs (B, R&D) - Improved repartation of food (e.g. portion control) - Sinart fridges & innovative domestic refigerator designs (B, R&D) - Naving a pattry or outdoor start designs (B, R&D) - Information campaigns and training offers on food ostorage and freezing (P) - Naving a pattry or outdoor start designs (B, R&D) - Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) - Cooking - Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) - Prevision of mobile applications, backs and courses on waste cooking (P, B) - n/a Eating out in restaurants - Serving food an smaller plates (H) Lark of knowledge about lethovers - Serving food and leftovers (H) Washing variative varia variative variatin variative variative variative varia variativ	lime constraints	- Subsidized workplace canteens or school lunches (P)
 Less packaging on perishable food (B, R) Shopping installer shops, famers markets, grow own food (H) Education efforts to foster the acceptability of foods that are older and/or less aetherically pleasing, or mearing their expiration dates (P) Revision of food product standards (P) Storage Improper and unsystematic storage practices Strang Improved packaging (re-seable) prolonging shell-life of food) and specific storage guidelines (B, R&D) Improved packaging (re-seable) prolonging shell-life of food) and specific storage guidelines (B, R&D) Improved packaging (re-seable) prolonging shell-life of food) and specific storage guidelines (B, R&D) Information campaigns and training offers on food storage and freezing (P) Having a pantry or outdoor earth cellar to store food (H) Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Index of knowledge and skills for cooking with leftores Fixed repertoire of recipes and memus Prevision of mobile applications, platforms, books and courses on waste cooking (P, B) Index of knowledge about leftores' edibility Sharing food and leftovers' Education and information campaigns on training on cappaigns on training on (P) the durability of leftovers Condust abut shelf-life of food and how to extend it Streamlining and optimising of food date labelling (B, P) Education and information campaigns on training range guidance Adoit on wheth updelines (P) Redesigning Libes for easier interpretation Enhancing existing storage guidance Adoitor of host frage action with Treeze by date mark shown' or Treeze as soon as possible' (depending on the product) Adaption of host hust be compositing, feeding pers	Oversized packaging	- Provision of different package sizes (B)
Shopping routines focused on major supermarket chains preference for fresh food/ Lack of acceptance of imperfect food - Shopping in smaller shops, farmers markets, grow own food (H) Storage - Shopping in smaller shops, farmers markets, grow own food (H) Improper and unsystematic storage practices - Simply of sub-optimal foods at a discount (R) Over-preparation of food (e.g. portion control) - Simply of sub-optimal foods at a discount (R) Cooking - Simply of color control Dever-preparation of food (e.g. portion control) - Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Cooking - Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Cooking - Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Cat of knowledge and skills for cooking with lettovers - Na/a Preference of convenience food - Na/a Eating. out in restaurants - Serving food on smaller plates (H) Managing Lettovers - Serving food on smaller plates (H) Assessing edibility - Sharing food and leftovers (H) Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety - Streamling and optimising of food date labeling (R), P) Lack of knowledge about shell-life of food and how to extern di - Streamling and optimising of food date labeling (R), P) </td <td></td> <td>- Less packaging on perishable food (B, R)</td>		- Less packaging on perishable food (B, R)
Preference for fresh food/ Lack of acceptance of imperfect food Storage Improper and unsystematic storage practices Storage Improper and unsystematic storage practices Cooking Over-preparation of food (e.g. portion control) Lack of Moweldege and skills for cooking with leftovers Fixed repertoire of recipes and menus Preference of convenience food Eating for variety in meals Lack of Knowledge about Ishelf-life of food and how to extend it Conterns about foodborne illnesses and food safety Conterns about foodborne illnesses and food safety Dispical Justification of food vaste due to composing. Feeling percence Fixed repercipes Fixed repercipes repercipes Fixed repercipes for conteng with leftovers Eating for variety in meals Lack of Knowledge about Ishelf-life of food and how to extend it Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety Fixed repercipes repercipes Fixed repercipes repercipes Fixed repercipes and menus Fixed repercipes and menus Fixed repercipes and menus Fixed repercipes of necipes and menus Fixed repercipes and menus Fixed reperci	Shopping routines focused on major supermarket chains	- Shopping in smaller shops, farmers markets, grow own food (H)
Lack of acceptance of imperfect food Lack of acceptance of acceptance of the food Lack of acceptance of acceptance of the food Lack of acceptance of ac	Preference for fresh food/	- Education efforts to force the accentability of foods that are older
Lack of acceptance of imperied tood Inproved packaging (re-scalable, profound stars (P) Storage Improved rand unsystematic storage practices Storage Improved rand unsystematic storage practices Storage Improved temperature control in fridges (B) Improved temperature control in them	Leals of accompton on of immerfact food	- End/as posts to loster the acceptability of hous matter data (D)
Storage - Revision of lood product standards (P) Storage - Supply of sub-potimal floods at a discount (R) Improper and unsystematic storage practices - Supply of sub-potimal floods at a discount (R) Cooking - Improved temperature control in fridges & innovative domestic refrigerator designs (B, R&D) Cooking - Improved trackagins (re-scalable, prolonging shelf-life of food) and specific storage guidelines (B, R&D) Over-preparation of food (e.g. portion control) - Information campaigns and training offers on food storage and freezing (P) Ack of knowledge and skills for cooking with leftovers - Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Eating - Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Lack of knowledge about sheff-life of food and how to extend it - Serving food on smaller plates (H) Contrium about date labels - Staring flooterses Lack of knowledge about sheff-life of food and how to extend it - Streamlining and optimising of food date labelling (B, P) Contrems about foodborne illnesses and food safety - Streamlining view sublikity in fridge Contrain about foodborne illnesses and food safety - Streamlining and optimising of food date labelling (B, P) Lack of knowledge about sheff-life of food and how to extend it - Streamlining storage guidance + Addring explan	Lack of acceptance of imperfect food	and/or less aestnetically pleasing, or hearing their expiration dates (P)
Storage Improper and unsystematic storage practices Improved packaging (re-scalable, protourol in fidges (B) - improved temperature control (H) - training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) - training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) - training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) - training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) - training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) - training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) - training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) - training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) - training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) - training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) - training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) - for an interstatiants - serving food on smaller plates (H) - staring food and leftovers - sorving food and leftovers - bow to indevice about theftovers dibility - contison about date labels - consistent date types within product categories - bow to indevice about food sharing - bow to indevice about food sharing - bow to indevice about food sharing - bow to indevice about theftovers - daditing explanatory text to the socohikale logo - Replacement of the Tecee as		- Revision of food product standards (P)
Storage - Smart fridges & innovative domestic refrigerator designs (B, R&D) Improved remperature control in fridges & innovative domestic refrigerator designs (B, R&D) - Improved temperature control in fridges & innovative domestic refrigerator designs (B, R&D) Cooking - Improved temperature control in fridges & innovative domestic refrigerator designs (B, R&D) Over-preparation of food (e.g. portion control) - Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Lack of knowledge and skills for cooking with leftovers - Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Preference of convenience food - n/a Large plate sizes - n/a Managing Lettovers - Serving food on smaller plates (H) Assessing edibility - Sharing food and leftovers (H) Control to overside as sacrifice, thrift - Sharing food and leftovers (H) Vish for variety in meals - Sharing food and leftovers (H) Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety - Bedraging traveside about shelf-life of food and how to extend it Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety - Stramplining and optimising of food date labeling (B, P) Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it - Stramplining and optimising of food date labeling (B, P) Lack of knowidege about shelf-life		- Supply of sub-optimal foods at a discount (R)
Improper and unsystematic storage practicesSmart fridges & innovative domestic refrigerator designs (B, R&D) Improved packaging (re-sealable, prolonging shelf-life of food) and specific storage guidelines (B, R&D) Information campaigns and training offers on food storage and freezing (P) Having a pantry or outdoor earth cellar to store food (H)Cooking- Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Provision of mobile applications, platforms, books and courses on waste cooking (P, B)Cooking- Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Provision of mobile applications, platforms, books and courses on waste cooking (P, B)Cooking- n/aCooking- n/aCooking- n/aCourse incer food Eating -torverise food Eating -torverise is perceived as sacrifice, thrift Wish for variety in meals Lack of knowledge about leftovers' edibility Procrastination- Serving food on smaller plates (H)Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety- Education and information campaigns on: (P) • Is consistent date types within product categories • low to improve visibility infidgeDisposal Justification of food waste due to composing feeding pers, recycling- Streamlining and optimising of food avaste hierarchy (P) • Review of assisting date types within product categories • low data information on campaigns: (P) • Review of assisting date types within product categories • load and information on campaigns; (P) • Review of assisting date on concernes, and sossible' (depending on the product) • Adaption of heading explanatory (P) • Review of assisting date on concernes, (P) • Review of assisting date on concernes, (P) • Review of assisting da	Storage	
 Improved temperature control in fridges (B) Improved temperature control in fridges Serving food on smaller plates (H) Sharing food and leftovers (H) Education and information campaigns on: (P) Education and information campaigns on: (P) Using consistent date topes within product categories Redesigning labels for casier interretation Endiancing existing and yrimising of food date labeling (B, P) Using consistent date types within product categories Redesigning labels for casier interretation Endiancing existing and yrimising of food prakating explanators (P) Review of existing food-safety standards(P) Education and information campaigns (P) Review of existing food-safety standards(P) Education and information campaigns (P) Review of existing food-safety standards(P) Education and information on campaigns (P) Review of existing food-safety standards(P) Education and information on campaigns (P) Review of e	Improper and unsystematic storage practices	- Smart fridges & innovative domestic refrigerator designs (B. R&D)
Improved packaging (re-scalable, prolonging shelf-life of food) and specific storage guidelines (B, &RD)Cooking Over-preparation of food (e.g. portion control) Lack of knowledge and skills for cooking with leftovers Fixed repertoire of recipes and menus Preference of convenience food targe plate sizes targe plate sizes targe plate sizes targe plate sizes targe to plate sizes targe to plate sizes targe plate sizes tar		- Improved temperature control in fridges (B)
 Inprotect place of the strategy (1-2-strategy (1-		Improved engentiate control in Index (b)
and specific storage guidelines (k, kk2) Information campaigns and training offers on food storage and freezing (P) Having a pantry or outdoor earth cellar to store food (H) Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Lack of fonwledge and skills for cooking with leftoverss Fixed repertoire of recipes and menus Preference of convenince food Lating out in restaurants Large plate sizes Lack of knowledge about leftovers is perceived as sacrifice, thrift Wish for variety in meals Lack of knowledge about leftovers' edibility Procreating and the form of food and how to extend it Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety Disposal Justification of food waste due to composing, refeding per, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Disposal Justification of food waste due to composing, refeding per, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Disposal Justification of food waste due to composing, refeding per, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Disposal Justification of food waste due to composing, refeding per, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Disposal Justification of food waste due to composing, refeding per, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Disposal		- Improved packaging (re-searcher, protonging shen-me or rood)
 Information campaigns and training offers on food storage and freezing (P) Having a pantry or outdoor earth cellar to store food (H) Over-preparation of food (e.g. portion control) Lack of knowledge and skills for cooking with leftovers Freference of convenience food Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Provision of mobile applications, platforms, books and courses on waste cooking (P, B) Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Provision of mobile applications, platforms, books and courses on waste cooking (P, B) Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Provision of mobile applications, platforms, books and courses on waste cooking (P, B) Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Provision of mobile applications, platforms, books and courses on waste cooking (P, B) Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Provision of mobile applications, platforms, books and courses on waste cooking (P, B) Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Staring food and leftovers (H) Streamlining and optimising of food date labelling (B, P) Using consistent date types within product categories Redesigning labels for easier interpretation Ethancing existing storage guidance Adding explanatory text to the snowflake logo Replexement of the saround the food safety Review of existing food-safety standards(P) Education and information campaigns: (P) the durability of food products food safety and hygien Information efforts around the food waste hierarchy (P) Education and information end papitacity of pod products foo		and specific storage guidelines (B, R&D)
 Having a pantry or outdoor earth cellar to store food (H) Cooking Over-preparation of food (e.g. portion control) Lack of knowledge and skills for cooking with leftovers Fixed repertoire of recipes and menus Preference of convenience food n/a I arge plate sizes Eating out in restaurants Eating leftovers is perceived as sacrifice, thrift Wish for variety in meals Lack of knowledge about alefbis Confusion about date labels Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety Exting out in ordination of food waste due to composing, feeding pets, ercycling Disposal Justification of food waste due to composing, feeding pets, ercycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Provision of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P) 		- Information campaigns and training offers on food storage and freezing (P)
Cooking Over-preparation of fod (e.g. portion control) Lack of knowledge and skills for cooking with leftovers Fixed repertoire of recipes and menus Preference of convenience food Eating Unpredictable eating patterns/ Complexity of daily life Large plate sizes Eating leftovers Eating leftovers Eating leftovers Eating leftovers Eating leftovers Eating leftovers eating leftovers eating leftovers Confusion about date labels Confusion about date labels Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety- Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Provision of mobile applications, platforms, books and courses on waste cooking (P, B)Disposal Justification of food waste due to composing, recting pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing- Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) Provision of mobile applications, platforms, books and courses on waste cooking (P, B)- n/a- n/a- arge plate sizes Stream ling out in restaurants Lack of knowledge about leftovers of knowledge about leftovers' edibility Procrastination- Sharing food on smaller plates (H) • Education and information campaigns on: (P) • the durability of leftovers • how to improve visibility in fridge • Streamlining and optimising of food date labelling (B, P) • Using consistent date types quidance • Adding explanatory text to the snowflake logo • Replacement of the about show to extend it • Review of existing food-safety standards(P) • Education and information on campaigns: (P) • the emaning of different food labels <br< td=""><td></td><td>- Having a pantry or outdoor earth cellar to store food (H)</td></br<>		- Having a pantry or outdoor earth cellar to store food (H)
Over-preparation of food (e.g. portion control) Lack of knowledge and skills for cooking with leftovers Fixed repertoire of recipes and menus- Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H) - Provision of mobile applications, platforms, books and courses on waste cooking (P, B)Preference of convenience food Eating- n/aUnpredictable eating patterns/ Complexity of daily life Eating entores is perceived as sacrifice, thrift Wish for variety in meals Lack of knowledge about leftovers' edibility Procrastination- Serving food on smaller plates (H)Assessing edibility Confusion about date labels Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety- Streamlining and optimising of food date labelling (B, P) - Using consistent date types within x days" guidance - Adding explanatory text to the snowflake logo - Redeigning labels for caser interpretation - Adding explanatory text to the snowflake logo - Replacement of the "freeze as son as possible' (depending on the product) - Adaption of headth guidelines (P) - Review of existing food-safety standards(P) - Education and information campaigns: (P) - Keive of existing food-safety standards(P) - Education and information campaigns: (P) - Keive of existing food-safety and hygieneDisposal Justification of food waste due to composting, recing pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing- Information efforts around the food waste hierarchy (P)Disposal - Disposal - During the products - Formation of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P)	Cooking	
Lack of knowledge and skills for cooking with leftovers Fixed repertoire of recipes and menus Preference of convenience food Eating Uppredictable eating patterns/ Complexity of daily life Eating-leftovers Eating leftovers is perceived as sacrifice, thrift Wish for variety in meals Lack of knowledge about leftovers' edibility Procreasing edibility Confusion about date labels Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety Disposal Justification of food waste due to composting, recting pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Pisonsol	Over-preparation of food (e.g. portion control)	- Training of cooking skills and using kitchen devices for better portion control (H)
 Lack of known capacitations, backs and county with reverses Lack of known capacitations, backs and county of a signification of module applications, backs and county of waste cooking (r, b) Firsted repertoire of recipes and menus Preference of convenience food and and and arge plate sizes Serving food on smaller plates (H) Sharing food and leftovers (H) Stack of knowledge about leftovers' edibility Confusion about date labels Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety Streamlining and optimising of food date labelling (B, P) Using consistent date types within roduct categories Redeisging labels for case in interpretation Enhancing existing storage guidance Adaption of health guidelines (P) Review of existing food-safety standards(P) Education and information campaigns: (P) Review of existing food-safety standards(P) Education and information on campaigns: (P) Education and informatio	Lack of knowledge and skills for cooking with leftovers	- Provision of mobile applications, platforms, books and courses on waste cooking (D, B)
Preference of convenience food Eating Unpredictable eating patterns/ Complexity of daily life Eating-out in restaurants Large plate sizes Charaging Leftovers Eating leftovers is perceived as sacrifice, thrift Vish for variety in meals Lack of knowledge about leftovers' edibility Procrastination Assessing edibility Confusion about date labels Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety Concer	Each of knowledge and skins for cooking with fertovers	- rovision of mobile applications, platforms, books and courses on waste cooking (r, b)
Preference of convenence tood - n/a Eating Unpredictable eating patterns/ Complexity of daily life Eating-out in restaurants Large plate sizes - Serving food on smaller plates (H) Managing Leftovers Eating leftovers is perceived as sacrifice, thrift - Sharing food and leftovers (H) Wish for variety in meals Lack of knowledge about leftovers' edibility - Education and information campaigns on: (P) Procrastination - the durability of leftovers - how to extend it - Streamlining and optimising of food date labeling (B, P) Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it - Streamlining and optimising of food date labeling (B, P) Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it - Streamlining and optimising of food date labeling (B, P) Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it - Streamlining and optimising of food date labeling (B, P) Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it - Streamlining and optimising of food date labeling (B, P) Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it - Streamlining and optimising of food date labeling (B, P) Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it - Streamlining once opened, use within x days" guidance - Lengthening "once opened, use within x days" guidance - Lengthening "once opened, use within x days" guidance - Lengthening "once opened, use within x days" guidance - Laddition of health guidelines (P) - Review of existing food-safety standards(P) - Belucation and information on campaigns; (P) - the durability of food products - Information efforts around the food waste hierarchy (P) - Feducation and information on campaigns; (P) - the durability of food redistribution programmes (P) - Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P)	Fixed repertone of recipes and menus	
Eating Unpredictable eating patterns/ Complexity of daily life Eating-out in restaurants Large plate sizes Eating leftovers Eating leftovers is perceived as sacrifice, thrift Ush for variety in meals Lack of knowledge about leftovers' edibility Procrastination- Serving food on smaller plates (H)Assessing edibility Confusion about date labels Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it- Education and information campaigns on: (P) • the durability of leftovers • how to improve visibility in fidgeConcerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety- Streamlining and optimising of food date labeling (B, P) • Using consistent date types within product categories • Redesigning labels for casier interpretation • Enhancing existing storage guidance • Adding explanatory text to the snowflake logo • Replacement of the "freeze on the day of purchase" instruction with "Freeze • Adding explanatory text to the snowflake logo • Review of existing food-safety standards(P) • Education and information on campaigns; (P) • the meaning of different food labels • the durability of food products • food safety and hygieneDisposal Justification of food waste due to composting, freeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing- Information efforts around the food waste hierarchy (P) food products • Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P)	Preference of convenience food	- n/a
Unpredictable eating patterns/ Complexity of daily life n/a Eating-out in restaurants - Serving food on smaller plates (H) Large plate sizes - Serving food and leftovers (H) Wish for variety in meals - Sharing food and leftovers (H) Lack of knowledge about leftovers' edibility - Education and information campaigns on: (P) Procrastination - Streamlining and optimising of food date labeling (B, P) Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it - Streamlining and optimising of food date labeling (B, P) Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it - Streamlining and optimising of food date labeling (B, P) Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety - Streamlining and optimising of food date labeling (B, P) Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it - Redeigning labels for easier interpretation Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety - Streamlining and optimising of food date labeling (B, P) Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it - Redeigning labels for easier interpretation Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety - Streamlining and optimising of food date labeling (B, P) Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it - Redeign explanatory text to the snowlake logo Replacement of the "Fr	Eating	
Eating-out in restaurants Large plate sizes- Serving food on smaller plates (H)Managing Leftovers- Serving food on smaller plates (H)Eating leftovers is perceived as sacrifice, thrift- Sharing food and leftovers (H)Wish for variety in meals- Education and information campaigns on: (P) • the durability of leftovers • how to improve visibility in fridgeAssessing edibility- Streamlining and optimising of food date labeling (B, P) • Using consistent date types within product categories • Redesigning labels for easier interpretationLack of knowledge about belf-life of food and how to extend it- Streamlining and optimising of food date labeling (B, P) • Using consistent date types within product categories • Redesigning labels for easier interpretation • Enhancing existing storage guidance • Adding explanatory text to the snowflake logo • Replacement of the 'Freeze as soon as possible' (depending on the product) • Adaption of health guidelines (P) • Review of existing food-safety standards(P) • Education and information on campaigns: (P) • the meaning of different food labels • the durability of food products • food safety and hygieneDisposal Justification of food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing- Information efforts around the food waste hierarchy (P)Parisone Ut but where the Uter Parison • Destruct to the streak of the ord of the streak of the ord and hispende • Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P)	Unpredictable eating patterns/ Complexity of daily life	n/a
Large plate sizes - Serving food on smaller plates (H) Managing Leftovers - Sharing food on smaller plates (H) Eating leftovers is perceived as sacrifice, thrift - Sharing food and leftovers (H) Wish for variety in meals - Staring leftovers (H) Lack of knowledge about leftovers' edibility - Education and information campaigns on: (P) Procrastination - the durability of leftovers Confusion about date labels - Streamlining and optimising of food date labelling (B, P) Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it - Streamlining and optimising of food date labels (B, P) Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food safety - Streamlining and optimising of food date labels (B, P) Lengthening "once opened, use within x days" guidance - Adding explanatory text to the snowflake logo Replacement of the "Freeze on the day of purchase' instruction with "Freeze by date mark shown' or "Freeze as soon as possible" (depending on the product) - Adaption of healt buidelines (P) - Education and information on campaigns: (P) - Education on food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling - Information efforts around the food waste hierarchy (P) - Bisposal Justification of food sharing - Information efforts around the food waste hierarchy (P) - Information of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P)	Eating-out in restaurants	
Managing Leftovers Eating for variety in meds Lack of knowledge about leftovers' edibility - Sharing food and leftovers (H) Procrastination - Education and information campaigns on: (P) Assessing edibility - the durability of leftovers Confusion about date labels - kow to improve visibility in fridge Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it - Streamlining and optimising of food date labelling (B, P) Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it - Streamlining and optimising of cod date labelling (B, P) Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety - Streamlining and optimising of food date labelling (B, P) Etaing "once opened, use within x days" guidance - Lengthening "once opened, use within x days" guidance - Addption of health guidelines (P) - Review of existing food-safety standards(P) - Review of existing food-safety standards(P) - Education and information on campaigns: (P) - Biposal - Information efforts around the food waste hierarchy (P) - Beeing pets, recycling - Information efforts around the food waste hierarchy (P) - Botome to the the the the the DD. Drece to A Dependent - Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P)	Large plate sizes	- Serving food on smaller plates (H)
 Sharing food and leftovers (H) Education and information campaigns on: (P) the durability of leftovers how to improve visibility in fridge Streamlining and optimising of food date labelling (B, P) Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety Endenging "Accessing explanatory text to the snowflake logo Replacement of the "Freeze on the day of purchase" instruction with "Freeze by date mark shown" or "Freeze as soon as possible' (depending on the product) Adaption of health guidelines (P) Education and information or campaigns: (P) the meaning of different food labels the durability of food products food safety and hygiene 	Managing Leftovers	
 Failing ford and ferovers (n) Shaling ford and ferovers (n) Education and information campaigns on: (P) Using consistent date types within product categories Redesigning labels for easier interpretation Enhancing existing storage guidance Adding explanatory text to the snowflake logo Replacement of the 'Freeze on the day of purchase' instruction with 'Freeze by date mark shown' or 'Freeze as soon as possible' (depending on the product) Adaption of healt guidelines (P) Education and information on campaigns: (P) Education of food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P) 	Eating leftovers is persoived as casrifice, thrift	Sharing food and leftourse (II)
Wish for Variety in meals - Education and information campaigns on: (P) Lack of knowledge about leftovers' edibility - Education and information campaigns on: (P) Assessing edibility - bow to improve visibility in fridge Confusion about date labels - Streamlining and optimising of food date labelling (B, P) Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it - Streamlining and optimising of road tate types within product categories Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety - Ethnancing existing storage guidance Lengthening "once opened, use within x days" guidance - Adding explanatory text to the snowflake logo Replacement of the 'Freeze on the day of purchase' instruction with 'Freeze by date mark shown' or 'Freeze as soon as possible' (depending on the product) - Adaption of health guidelines (P) - Education and information on campaigns: (P) - Education and information of food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling - Information efforts around the food waste hierarchy (P) Lack of social acceptance of food sharing - Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P)	Eating leftovers is perceived as sacrifice, till it	
Lack of knowledge about leftovers' edibility Procrastination Assessing edibility Confusion about date labels Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety Concerns about foodborne illnesses Concerns about foodborne illnesses Concerns about foodborne illnesses Concerns about food information on campaignes: Concerns about food borne information	wish for variety in meals	
Procrastination the durability of leftovers how to improve visibility in fridge Assessing edibility 	Lack of knowledge about leftovers' edibility	- Education and information campaigns on: (P)
 how to improve visibility in fridge Assessing edibility Assessing edibility Confusion about date labels Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety Enhancing existing storage guidance Lengthening "once opened, use within x days" guidance Adding explanatory text to the snowflake logo Replacement of the 'Freeze on the day of purchase' instruction with 'Freeze by date mark shown' or 'Freeze as soon as possible' (depending on the product) Adaption of health guidelines (P) Review of existing food-safety standards(P) Education and information on campaigns: (P) the durability of food products food safety and hygiene Disposal Justification of food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P) 	Procrastination	 the durability of leftovers
Assessing edibility Confusion about date labels Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety Concerns about food food illnesses and food safety Concerns about food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Concerns about food bears of		how to improve visibility in fridge
Confusion about date labels- Streamlining and optimising of food date labelling (B, P)Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it- Streamlining and optimising of food date labelling (B, P)Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety- Redesigning labels for easier interpretationConcerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety- Enhancing existing storage guidance- Lengthening "once opened, use within x days" guidance- Adding explanatory text to the snowflake logo- Replacement of the 'Freeze on the day of purchase' instruction with 'Freeze by date mark shown' or 'Freeze as soon as possible' (depending on the product)- Adaption of health guidelines (P)- Review of existing food-safety standards(P)- Education and information on campaigns: (P)- the meaning of different food labels - the durability of food products - food safety and hygieneDisposal Justification of food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing- Devinew bit la besched by Devine back of social acceptance of food sharing- Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P)	Assessing edibility	
Lack of knowledge about shelf-life of food and how to extend it Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety Concerns about food borne illnesses and food safety Concerns about food safety Concerns about food borne illnesses and food safety Concerns about food borne illnesses and food safety Concerns about food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Concerns about for the provide to the provide to the provide to the provide to the food vaste hierarchy (P) Concerns about for the provide to the provide to the provide to the provide to the food redistribution programmes (P) Concerns about the provide to the	Confusion about date labels	- Streamlining and ontimising of food date labelling (R_P)
Lack of knowing about great in the or food and how to extend it - Using consistent date types within product categories New to extend it - Redesigning labels for easier interpretation Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety - Redesigning labels for easier interpretation Enhancing existing storage guidance - Lengthening "once opened, use within x days" guidance - Adding explanatory text to the snowflake logo - Replacement of the 'Freeze on the day of purchase' instruction with 'Freeze by date mark shown' or 'Freeze as soon as possible' (depending on the product) - Adaption of health guidelines (P) - Review of existing food-safety standards(P) - Review of existing food safety and information on campaigns: (P) - Education and information on campaigns: (P) - Intermediation of food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling - Information efforts around the food waste hierarchy (P) - Disposal - Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P)	Lack of knowledge about shelf life of food and	Ising consistent date types within product acting crises
now to extend it • Redesigning labels for easier interpretation Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety • Enhancing existing storage guidance • Lengthening "once opened, use within x days" guidance • Adding explanatory text to the snowflake logo • Replacement of the 'Freeze on the day of purchase' instruction with 'Freeze by date mark shown' or 'Freeze as soon as possible' (depending on the product) • Adaption of health guidelines (P) • Review of existing food-safety standards(P) • Education and information on campaigns: (P) • the meaning of different food labels • the durability of food products • food safety and hygiene Disposal Justification of food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing • Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P)	Lack of Kilowicuge about Sileli-IIIe of 1000 dilu	Oning consistent date types within product categories Dedesing a bala for accient intermentation
Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety Enhancing existing storage guidance Lengthening "once opened, use within x days" guidance Adding explanatory text to the snowflake logo Replacement of the 'Freeze on the day of purchase' instruction with 'Freeze by date mark shown' or 'Freeze as soon as possible' (depending on the product) Adaption of health guidelines (P) Review of existing food-safety standards(P) Education and information on campaigns: (P) the meaning of different food labels the durability of food products food safety and hygiene Disposal Justification of food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P) 	how to extend it	 Redesigning labels for easier interpretation
 Lengthening "once opened, use within x days" guidance Adding explanatory text to the snowflake logo Replacement of the 'Freeze on the day of purchase' instruction with 'Freeze by date mark shown' or 'Freeze as soon as possible' (depending on the product) Adaption of health guidelines (P) Review of existing food-safety standards(P) Education and information on campaigns: (P) the meaning of different food labels the durability of food products food safety and hygiene Disposal Justification of food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P) 	Concerns about foodborne illnesses and food safety	 Enhancing existing storage guidance
 Adding explanatory text to the snowflake logo Replacement of the 'Freeze on the day of purchase' instruction with 'Freeze by date mark shown' or 'Freeze as soon as possible' (depending on the product) Adaption of health guidelines (P) Review of existing food-safety standards(P) Education and information on campaigns: (P) the meaning of different food labels the durability of food products food safety and hygiene Disposal Justification of food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P) 		Lengthening "once opened, use within x days" guidance
 Replacement of the 'Freeze on the day of purchase' instruction with 'Freeze by date mark shown' or 'Freeze as soon as possible' (depending on the product) Adaption of health guidelines (P) Review of existing food-safety standards(P) Review of existing food-safety standards(P) Education and information on campaigns: (P) the meaning of different food labels the durability of food products food safety and hygiene Disposal Justification of food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P) 		 Adding explanatory text to the snowflake logo
by date mark shown' or 'Freeze as soon as possible' (depending on the product) - Adaption of health guidelines (P) - Review of existing food-safety standards(P) - Education and information on campaigns: (P) - Education and information on campaigns: (P) - the meaning of different food labels - the durability of food products - food safety and hygiene Disposal Justification of food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing - Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P)		- Replacement of the 'Freeze on the day of purchase' instruction with 'Freeze
by date mark show of Preced as source (depending on the product) - Adaption of health guidelines (P) - Review of existing food-safety standards(P) - Education and information on campaigns: (P) - the meaning of different food labels - the durability of food products - food safety and hygiene Disposal Justification of food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing - Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P)		by date mark shown' or 'Fraeze as soon as possible' (depending on the product)
 Adaption of nealth guidelines (P) Review of existing food-safety standards(P) Education and information on campaigns: (P) Education and information on campaigns: (P) the meaning of different food labels the durability of food products food safety and hygiene Disposal Justification of food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P) 		Adaption of baselike widelines (D)
 Review of existing food-safety standards(P) Education and information on campaigns: (P) Education and information on campaigns: (P) the meaning of different food labels the durability of food products food safety and hygiene Disposal Justification of food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P) 		- Adaption of health guidelines (P)
 Education and information on campaigns: (P) the meaning of different food labels the durability of food products food safety and hygiene Disposal Justification of food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P) 		 Review of existing food-safety standards(P)
 the meaning of different food labels the durability of food products food safety and hygiene Justification of food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P) 		- Education and information on campaigns: (P)
 the durability of food products food safety and hygiene Disposal Justification of food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P) 		 the meaning of different food labels
Disposal food safety and hygiene food safety and hygiene Disposal Information efforts around the food waste hierarchy (P) feeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P) Description Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P) 		the durability of food products
Disposal - Information efforts around the food waste hierarchy (P) feeding pets, recycling - Information of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P) Decisions Mr. Merchelde, Pr. P. Ling, P.O. P. Presente O. Presidente and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P)		• food safety and hygiene
Justification of food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing - Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P)	Disposal	= root sarety and hygicite
Justification of food waste due to composting, feeding pets, recycling - Information efforts around the food waste hierarchy (P) Lack of social acceptance of food sharing - Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P)	Dispusal	Information offerste anound the fact descent biomedia (D)
teeding pets, recycling Lack of social acceptance of food sharing - Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P)	Justification of food waste due to composting,	- mormation efforts around the food waste hierarchy (P)
Lack of social acceptance of food sharing - Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P) Designer He Henry helds Proprint	teeding pets, recycling	
A Business H. Hanschelde B. Beller, Do D. Bernarde & Development	Lack of social acceptance of food sharing	- Promotion of and financial support for food redistribution programmes (P)

about the meanings of date-labels and confusion around the difference between the expiry date and the date of minimum durability (Regulation 1169/2001/EU) is a major contributor to avoidable consumer food waste (Ceuppens et al., 2016; Newsome et al., 2014; Priefer et al., 2016). To prevent confusion among consumers about expire dates, a big potential for reducing food waste lies in optimising labels for pre-packed food products (e.g. WRAP, 2011, 2015). More specifically, food waste could be reduced by removing the sell-by date (or date labels completely) from some product groups and extending the list of food products exempted from indicating the date of minimum durability. This may alleviate the perceived trade-off between food waste and foodborne illness (Newsome et al., 2014; Qi and Roe, 2016). Also, technological innovations in labelling may help reduce consumer food waste. So-called Time-Temperature-Indicator (TTI) devices, for instance, show a measurable time-temperature dependent change, reflecting all (or part of) a food product's temperature history. By changing its colour based on temperature and the time elapsed since packaging, low quality and potentially unsafe food can be identified (Newsome et al., 2014; Priefer et al., 2013).

4.2.3. Retailer options

Retailers can support the reduction of food waste by avoiding bulk purchases or by selling less aesthetic foods at discounts (Porpino et al., 2015; Verghese et al., 2015). Further, an investigation of retailer campaigns shows that information by retailers via social media or e-newsletter can reduce self-reported food waste of consumers (Young et al., 2017). Various retailers have already started initiatives to trigger less wasteful consumer behaviour (Young et al., 2017). In 2010, Sainsbury's and Tesco launched the "Buy One Get One Later" (BOGOL) campaign after 2×1 promotions (known as BOGOF) were identified as a key reason for overprovisioning of food. In Germany, the supermarket EDEKA launched its "We Love Food" campaign in 2012 that involved the cooking of expired food and damaged fruits and vegetables into jams and jellies. The Morrisons supermarket in the UK uses "best kept" stickers on fresh products to show customers the best way of preserving fresh products at home. Finally, the French supermarket Intermarché and the German REWE Group have started to sell imperfect fruits and vegetables at a discount.

4.3. Mobile applications

The use of technology to support behaviour change is increasingly identified as a key tool to help reduce food waste. Mobile applications such as the German app "Zu Gut für die Tonne" and the British app "Love your Leftovers" provide households with practical advice around extending shelf-life and trying recipes with leftovers. Other applications seek to foster knowledge about food supply and assist users in managing their groceries and planning their meals (Farr-Wharton et al., 2014).

Another strategies revolves around re-distributing surplus food that is still fit for human consumption through online platforms and apps (Davies, 2016). The British app "OLIO", for instance, connects neighbours and local businesses for food sharing. Sharing initiatives are widely spread across Europe, such as the German and Austrian "Foodsharing" or "Slow Food" initiative, the Italian "Next Door Help" and the Spanish "Yo No Desperdicio". However, receiving food from food donors via smart phone applications is not straightforward. Consumers report a range of concerns related to the safety of shared food and a lack trust in the donator (Lazell, 2016). The sharing of food is thus still not a widely socially accepted practice of food provisioning. Beyond this, first community-based systems that give feedback on individuals' inhome food availability and food waste types and amounts, have been designed and might be relevant for effective food waste prevention (Lim et al., 2017).

5. Conclusion

The present paper set out to review empirical, peer-reviewed studies on households' food waste practices, and distil sociodemographic and psycho-social factors as well as food-related household practices. Overall, we see that research in the field of households' consumer food waste occurring in households is progressing well, evidenced by the growing number of studies. As highlighted by various authors, food waste generation on the household level is a highly complex and multifaceted issue driven by a variety of reasons and types of behaviour. To begin with, our analysis has shown that households are generally concerned and feel guilty about wasting food. These feelings of guilt are mainly based on personal concerns such as financial loss, rather than on concerns about the environmental and social implications of food waste. Several studies have demonstrated that guilt, perceived behavioural control, and negative attitudes towards food waste may predict the intention to reduce food waste and/or reported food waste.

Also, it is noticeable that households often have ambivalent attitudes towards waste prevention and face conflicts between good intentions to reduce food waste and personal preferences regarding food safety, taste and freshness. In addition, reducing food waste may be at odds with the desire to be an organized and careful homemaker, provider, and host. Consequently, people sense a discord between the care for oneself (and immediate others) and eliminating food waste in which they are negotiating a range of contradictory desires, aims and anxieties.

Socio-demographic factors play less of a predictive role, albeit research has found that people over 65 years tend to waste less, and households with children tend to waste more food. On a per capita basis larger households waste less while single households waste most. Overprovision, unsystematic storage, misinformation about the shelf-life of food and date-labels as well as an aversion towards eating leftovers are, among others, prominent reasons for the disposal of superfluous food. Moreover, our analysis shows that the lack of knowledge regarding the social and environmental consequences of food waste needs to be tackled to improve people's awareness of the wider impacts of wasteful behaviour.

While emphasizing the strategies that can be adopted by individuals to prevent food waste in their households, one must however, acknowledge the individual as embedded in wider social, economic, and cultural structures that may prevent the adoption of less wasteful practices. Infrastructure such as storage (e.g. cellar, fridges) and shopping facilities (big supermarkets, local stores, farmers markets) play a decisive role in shaping household food (waste) practices. Furthermore, insufficient time to care about food in general, and food waste in particular, paired with the perceived unpredictability of daily lives may turn food waste prevention into a daunting task. Indeed, a perceived time shortage due to today's complex scheduling of work, family and leisure time appeared at all stages of food-related household practices as a key constraint to practices of food waste reduction such as planning shopping trips, shopping more frequently, shopping at smaller stores, growing one's own food, storing food properly or cooking with leftovers. Yet, there has been little research conducted on how perceived time availability influences people's waste practices. If we are to tackle food waste in a systematic way, we must also take into account the

links between changing patterns of work and leisure (e.g. shorter working hours) and consumer food waste.

Thus, a holistic food waste prevention approach has to go beyond putting the responsibility solely on individuals. In the search for solutions, more aware and capable consumers are needed as much as committed policy makers who are willing to implement the right mix of policy measures to make waste prevention the preferred option for households. The creation of favourable framework conditions as well as the support and cooperation with stakeholders along the supply chain are of utmost importance for a more sustainable and appreciative handling of food. The increasing development and uptake of initiatives around the globe give encouraging signs that tackling food waste features on the political agenda. Yet, awareness raising is still the dominant policy option deployed on a regional, national and European level. Although more information on the shelf-life of food and better storage possibilities is favourable initiatives and measures that engage the public and aim to reconfigure food practices require a multi-tiered approach that combines regulatory frames, infrastructural measures, informational and educational support, pricebased measures along with technological and social innovations in consistent and coherent ways. Hence, policy interventions must go beyond individualizing the problem and instead take a proactive approach that tackles practices of all stakeholders along the supply chain in order to push food waste prevention from a systemic perspective. It will require a strategy that coordinates approaches across actors - from the production to the consumption stages because food getting wasted in households may already be provoked by upstream actors in the food chain (e.g. through incomprehensible date labels, too large or not re-sealable packaging, retailer and sales strategies such as bulk packages, special offers, etc.) which are therefore outside the scope of individual action. Our results suggest, that a starting point for policy makers should be the streamlining and optimising of expire date labels for pre-packed food products, for instance by removing the sell-by date or by removing date labels completely from some product groups and extending the list of food products exempted from indicating the date of minimum durability. However, other underlying reasons for food waste such as the complexity of daily life or the desire to be a good provider, will be much more challenging to address and require more innovative approaches that go beyond traditional policy instruments.

This paper also highlights various areas for further research. From a scholarly perspective, studies employing more objective techniques for data collection, such as trash sorting or kitchen diaries instead of self-reported mechanisms (which can bias individuals towards underestimating their food waste and potentially limit the comparison with other variables) are needed. Moreover, given the multifaceted and complex character of the issue, what is key is a strong collaboration and integration of different disciplinary perspectives. We make a strong plea for research that goes beyond investigating attitudes towards food waste and instead adopt a social practice ontology that potentially sheds light on the daily routines and practice that underlie household food waste. Using multiple methods of data collection (e.g. combining interviews with observations) is important to capture lived experiences and provide a nuanced account of how and why food gets wasted. Fruthermore, further research should investigate the role of structural elements such as shopping infrastructures or storage places at home on food waste. Another relevant area of future research concerns the potential of emergent technologies (e.g. smart fridges, fridges and boxes that prolong the shelf life, apps on in-home food availability, etc.) to support food waste reduction. Besides that, there is abundant room to further investigate food sharing practices. Finally, further work is required that tests and assesses the effectiveness and impact of different policy measures and other interventions on food waste practices.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Austrian Climate Research Programme - ACRP 8th Call under the project "FoodClim" (Grant number KR15AC8K12600). We thank Stefan Giljum, Elfriede Penz and two independent reviewers for their help and guidance to this work.

References

- Abeliotis, K., Lasaridi, K., Chroni, C., 2014. Attitudes and behaviour of Greek households regarding food waste prevention. Waste Manag. Res. 32, 237–240.
- Ajzen, I., 1991. The theory of planned behavior. Organ. Behav. Hum. Decis. Process. 50, 179–211.
- Beretta, C., Stoessel, F., Baier, U., Hellweg, S., 2013. Quantifying food losses and the potential for reduction in Switzerland. Waste Manag.. 33, 764–773.
- BIOIS, 2010. Preparatory Study on Food Waste across EU 27. European Commission (DG ENV) Directorate C-Industry. 2010. Final Report. ISBN: 978-92-79-22138-5. Blake, J., 1999. Overcoming the 'value-action gap'in environmental policy: tensions
- between national policy and local experience. Local Environ. 4, 257–278. Blichfeldt, B.S., Mikkelsen, M., Gram, M., 2015. When it stops being food: the edi-
- bildheidt, B.S., Mikkelsen, M., Gran, M., 2015, When it stops being food, the edibility, ideology, procrastination, objectification and internalization of household food waste. Food Culture Soc. 18, 89–105.
- Boulstridge, E., Carrigan, M., 2000. Do consumers really care about corporate responsibility? Highlighting the attitude—behaviour gap. J. Commun. Manag. 4, 355–368.
- Briner, R.B., Denyer, D., 2012. Systematic Review and Evidence Synthesis as a Practice and Scholarship Tool. Handbook of Evidence-Based Management: Companies, Classrooms and Research, pp. 112–129.
- Cappellini, B., 2009. The sacrifice of re-use: the travels of leftovers and family relations. J. Consum. Behav. 8, 365–375.
- Cappellini, B., Parsons, E., 2012. Practising thrift at dinnertime: mealtime leftovers, sacrifice and family membership. Socio. Rev. 60, 121–134.
- Catlin, J.R., Wang, Y., 2012. Recycling gone bad: when the option to recycle increases resource consumption. J. Consum. Psychol. https://ssrn.com/abstract=2056047 (Accessed 23 August 2017).
- Cecere, G., Mancinelli, S., Mazzanti, M., 2014. Waste prevention and social preferences: the role of intrinsic and extrinsic motivations. Ecol. Econ. 107, 163–176.
- Ceuppens, S., Van Boxstael, S., Westyn, A., Devlieghere, F., Uyttendaele, M., 2016. The heterogeneity in the type of shelf life label and storage instructions on refrigerated foods in supermarkets in Belgium and illustration of its impact on assessing the Listeria monocytogenes threshold level of 100 CFU/g. Food Contr. 59, 377–385.
- Chalak, A., Abou-Daher, C., Chaaban, J., Abiad, M.G., 2016. The global economic and regulatory determinants of household food waste generation: a cross-country analysis. Waste Manag. 48, 418–422.
- Dahlén, L., Lagerkvist, A., 2010. Pay as you throw: strengths and weaknesses of weight-based billing in household waste collection systems in Sweden. Waste Manag. 30, 23–31.
- Davies, A., 2016. Sharecity Typologies of Food Sharing. Sharecity Sustainability of City-based Food Sharing. Working Paper 1. Trinity College Dublin, Ireland. http://sharecity.ie/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/SHARECITY-TYPOLOGIES-OF-FOOD-SHARING_WP1.pdf (Accessed 23 August 2017).
- de Hooge, I.E., Oostindjer, M., Aschemann-Witzel, J., Normann, A., Loose, S.M., Almli, V.L., 2017. This apple is too ugly for me!: consumer preferences for suboptimal food products in the supermarket and at home. Food Qual. Prefer. 56, 80–92.
- Denyer, D., Tranfield, D., 2009. Producing a Systematic Review. https://www.cebma. org/wp-content/uploads/Denyer-Tranfield-Producing-a-Systematic-Review.pdf (Accessed 23 August 2017).
- Driesen, D., 2006. Economic instruments for sustainable development. Environ. Law Sustain. 277–308.
- Edjabou, M.E., Petersen, C., Scheutz, C., Astrup, T.F., 2016. Food waste from Danish households: generation and composition. Waste Manag. 52, 256–268.
- EEA, 2009. Diverting waste from landfill. Effectiveness of waste-management policies in the European Union. European Environment Agency Report No 7/2009. ISSN 1725-9177. https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/diverting-wastefrom-landfill-effectiveness-of-waste-management-policies-in-the-europeanunion/download (Accessed 23 August 2017).
- Evans, D., 2011a. Beyond the throwaway society: ordinary domestic practice and a sociological approach to household food waste. Sociology 46, 41–56.
- Evans, D., 2011b. Blaming the consumer—once again: the social and material contexts of everyday food waste practices in some English households. Crit. Publ. Health 21, 429–440.
- Evans, D., Campbell, H., Murcott, A., 2012a. A brief pre-history of food waste and the social sciences. Socio. Rev. 60, 5–26.
- Evans, D., 2012. Binning, gifting and recovery: the conduits of disposal in household food consumption. Environ. Plann. Soc. Space 30, 1123–1137.

Evans, D., McMeekin, A., Southerton, D., 2012b. Sustainable Consumption, Behaviour Change Policies and Theories of Practice.

- Evans, D., 2014. Food Waste: Home Consumption, Material Culture and Everyday Life. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Fahy, F., Davies, A., 2007. Home improvements: household waste minimisation and action research. Res. Conserv. Recycl. 52, 13-27.
- Farr-Wharton, G., Foth, M., Choi, J.H.J., 2014. Identifying factors that promote consumer behaviours causing expired domestic food waste. J. Consum. Behav. 13, 393-402
- Farrelly, T., Tucker, C., 2014. Action research and residential waste minimisation in Palmerston North, New Zealand, Res. Conserv. Recvcl. 91, 11-26.
- FUSIONS, 2016. Market-based Instruments and Other Socio-economic Incentives Enhancing Food Waste Preventing and Reduction. The European Commission, https://www.eu-fusions.org/index.php/download?download= Wageningen 219:d33a-market-based-instrument (Accessed 23 August 2017).
- Ganglbauer, E., Fitzpatrick, G., Comber, R., 2013. Negotiating food waste: using a practice lens to inform design. ACM Trans. Comput. Hum. Interact. 20, 1–25. Gatersleben, B., Steg, L., Vlek, C., 2002. Measurement and determinants of envir
- ronmentally significant consumer behavior. Environ. Behav. 34, 335-362.
- Graham-Rowe, E., Jessop, D.C., Sparks, P., 2014. Identifying motivations and barriers to minimising household food waste. Res. Conserv. Recycl. 84, 15-23.
- Graham-Rowe, E., Jessop, D.C., Sparks, P., 2015. Predicting household food waste reduction using an extended theory of planned behaviour. Res. Conserv. Recycl. 101 194-202
- Grandhi, B., Appaiah Singh, J., 2016. What a waste! A study of food wastage behavior in Singapore. J. Food Prod. Market. 22, 471-485.
- Gustavsson, J., Cederberg, C., Sonesson, U., Van Otterdijk, R., Meybeck, A., 2011. Global Food Losses and Food Waste. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Rom. http://www.fao.org/docrep/014/mb060e/mb060e00.pdf (Accessed 23 August 2017).
- Hall-Phillips, A., Shah, P., 2017. Unclarity confusion and expiration date labels in the United States: a consumer perspective. J. Retailing Consum. Serv. 35, 118-126.
- Hards, S., 2011. Social practice and the evolution of personal environmental values. Environ, Val. 23-42.
- Hoek, A., Pearson, D., James, S., Lawrence, M., Friel, S., 2017. Shrinking the foodprint: a qualitative study into consumer perceptions, experiences and attitudes towards healthy and environmentally friendly food behaviours. Appetite 108 117-131
- Jörissen, J., Priefer, C., Bräutigam, K.-R., 2015. Food waste generation at household level: results of a survey among employees of two European research centers in Italy and Germany. Sustainability 7, 2695-2715.
- Koivupuro, H.K., Hartikainen, H., Silvennoinen, K., Katajajuuri, J.M., Heikintalo, N., Reinikainen, A., Jalkanen, L., 2012. Influence of socio-demographical, behavioural and attitudinal factors on the amount of avoidable food waste generated in Finnish households. Int. J. Consum. Stud. 36, 183–191.
- Lanfranchi, M., Lanfranchi, M., Calabrò, G., Calabrò, G., De Pascale, A., De Pascale, A., Fazio, A., Fazio, A., Giannetto, C., Giannetto, C., 2016. Household food waste and eating behavior: empirical survey. Br. Food J. 118, 3059-3072.
- Lazell, J., 2016. Consumer food waste behaviour in universities: sharing as a means of prevention. J. Consum. Behav. 15, 430-439.
- Leray, L., Sahakian, M., Erkman, S., 2016. Understanding household food metabolism: relating micro-level material flow analysis to consumption practices. J. Clean. Prod. 125, 44-55.
- Lim, V., Funk, M., Marcenaro, L., Regazzoni, C., Rauterberg, G., 2017. Designing for action. Int. J. Hum. Comput. Stud. 100, 18-32.
- Loebnitz, N., Grunert, K.G., 2015. The effect of food shape abnormality on purchase intentions in China. Food Qual. Prefer. 40, 24-30.
- Loebnitz, N., Schuitema, G., Grunert, K.G., 2015. Who buys oddly shaped food and why? Impacts of food shape abnormality and organic labeling on purchase intentions. Psychol. Market. 32, 408–421.
- Mallinson, L.J., Russell, J.M., Barker, M.E., 2016. Attitudes and behaviour towards convenience food and food waste in the United Kingdom. Appetite 103, 17-28.
- Marklinder, I., Eriksson, M.K., 2015. Best-before date-food storage temperatures recorded by Swedish students. Br. Food J. 117, 1764-1776.
- Martindale, W., 2014. Using consumer surveys to determine food sustainability. Br. Food J. 116, 1194-1204.
- Meah, A., 2014. Still blaming the consumer? Geographies of responsibility in domestic food safety practices. Crit. Publ. Health 24, 88-103.
- Melbye, E.L., Onozaka, Y., Hansen, H., 2016. Throwing it all away: exploring affluent consumers' attitudes toward wasting edible food. J. Food Prod. Market. 1-14.
- Milne, R., 2012. Arbiters of waste: date labels, the consumer and knowing good, safe food. Socio. Rev. 60, 84-101.
- Mondéjar-Jiménez, J.-A., Ferrari, G., Secondi, L., Principato, L., 2016. From the table to waste: an exploratory study on behaviour towards food waste of Spanish and Italian youths. J. Clean. Prod. 138, 8-18.
- Mourad, M., 2015. France moves toward a National Policy against Food Waste. https://www.nrdc.org/sites/default/files/france-food-waste-policy-report.pdf (Accessed 23 August 2017).
- Mourad, M., 2016. Recycling, recovering and preventing "food waste": competing solutions for food systems sustainability in the United States and France. J. Clean. Prod. 126, 461-477.
- Neff, R.A., Spiker, M.L., Truant, P.L., 2015. Wasted food: US consumers' reported awareness, attitudes, and behaviors. PLoS One 10 e0127881.
- Newsone, R., Balestrini, C.G., Baum, M.D., Corby, J., Fisher, W., Goodburn, K., Labuza, T.P., Prince, G., Thesmar, H.S., Yiannas, F., 2014. Applications and

perceptions of date labeling of food. Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf. 13, 745-769

- Oliveira, M., Abadias, M., Usall, J., Torres, R., Teixidó, N., Viñas, I., 2015. Application of modified atmosphere packaging as a safety approach to fresh-cut fruits and vegetables-A review. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 46, 13-26.
- Parfitt, J., Barthel, M., Macnaughton, S., 2010. Food waste within food supply chains: quantification and potential for change to 2050. Phil. Trans. Biol. Sci. 365, http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/365/1554/3065 3065-3081. (Accessed 23 August 2017).
- Parizeau, K., von Massow, M., Martin, R., 2015. Household-level dynamics of food waste production and related beliefs, attitudes, and behaviours in Guelph, Ontario. Waste Manag. 35, 207–217.
- Pearson, D., Mirosa, M., Andrews, L., Kerr, G., 2016. Reframing communications that encourage individuals to reduce food waste. Commun. Res. Pract. 1–18.
- Piscicelli, L., Cooper, T., Fisher, T., 2015. The role of values in collaborative consumption: insights from a product-service system for lending and borrowing in the UK. J. Clean. Prod. 97, 21-29.
- Porpino, G., 2016. Household food waste behavior: avenues for future research. I Ass Cons Res 1 41-51
- Porpino, G., Parente, J., Wansink, B., 2015. Food waste paradox: antecedents of food disposal in low income households. Int. J. Consum. Stud. 39, 619–629. Porpino, G., Wansink, B., Parente, J., 2016. Wasted positive intentions: the role of
- affection and abundance on household food waste. J. Food Prod. Market. 1-19.
- Priefer, C., Jörissen, J., Bräutigam, K.-R., 2016. Food waste prevention in Europe-A cause-driven approach to identify the most relevant leverage points for ac-tion. Res. Conserv. Recycl. 109, 155–165.
- Priefer, C., Jörissen, J., Bräutigam, K., 2013. Technology Options for Feeding 10 Billion People. Options for Cutting Food Waste. Science and Technology Options Assessment. European Parliament, Brussels, Belgium.
- Principato, L., Secondi, L., Pratesi, C.A., 2015. Reducing food waste: an investigation on the behaviour of Italian youths. Br. Food J. 117, 731-748.
- Qi, D., Roe, B.E., 2016. Household food waste: multivariate regression and principal components analyses of awareness and attitudes among US consumers. PLoS One 11 e0159250.
- Quested, T., Marsh, E., Stunell, D., Parry, A., 2013. Spaghetti soup: the complex world of food waste behaviours. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 79, 43-51.
- Radzymi Radzyminska, M., Jakubowska, D., Staniewska, K., 2016. Consumer attitude and behaviour towards food waste. J. Agribus. Rural Develop. 1, 175-181.
- Reisch, L., Eberle, U., Lorek, S., 2013. Sustainable food consumption: an overview of contemporary issues and policies. Sustainability: Science, Practice, & Policy 9.
- Rispo, A., Williams, I.D., Shaw, P.J., 2015. Source segregation and food waste prevention activities in high-density households in a deprived urban area. Waste Manag. 44, 15-27.
- Rousseau, D.M., Manning, J., Denyer, D., 2008. Evidence in management and organizational science: assembling the Field's full weight of scientific knowledge through syntheses. Acad. Manag. Ann. 2, 475-515.
- Rutten, M., Nowicki, P., Bogaardt, M.-J., Aramyan, L., 2013. Reducing Food Waste by Household and in Retail in the EU: a Prioritisation Using Economic, Land Use and Food Security Impacts. LEI Wagenigen UR.
- Southerton, D., Yates, L., 2014. Exploring Food Waste through the Lens of Social Practice Theories. Waste Management and Sustainable Consumption: Reflections on Consumer Waste, p. 133.
- Schanes, K., Giljum, S., Hertwich, E., 2016. Low carbon lifestyles: a framework to structure consumption strategies and options to reduce carbon footprints. J. Clean. Prod. 139, 1033–1043.
- Schmidt, K., 2016a. Explaining and promoting household food waste-prevention by an environmental psychological based intervention study. Res. Conserv. Recycl. 111, 53-66
- Schmidt, K., 2016b. What a Waste! Developing the Food Waste-preventing Behaviors Scale-A Useful Tool to Promote Household Food Waste-prevention.
- Secondi, L., Principato, L., Laureti, T., 2015. Household food waste behaviour in EU-27 countries: a multilevel analysis. Food Pol. 56, 25-40.
- Setti, M., Falasconi, L., Vittuari, M., Andrea, S., Cusano, I., Griffith, C., Griffith, C., 2016. Italian consumers' income and food waste behavior. Br. Food J. 118.
- Shove, E., 2010. Beyond the ABC: climate change policy and theories of social change. Environ. Plan. A 42, 1273-1285.
- Silvennoinen, K., Katajajuuri, J.-M., Hartikainen, H., Heikkilä, L., Reinikainen, A., 2014. Food waste volume and composition in Finnish households. Br. Food J. 116. 1058-1068.
- Stancu, V., Haugaard, P., Lähteenmäki, L., 2016. Determinants of consumer food waste behaviour: two routes to food waste. Appetite 96, 7-17.
- Stefan, V., Van Herpen, E., Tudoran, A.A., Lähteenmäki, L., 2013. Avoiding food waste by Romanian consumers: the importance of planning and shopping routines. Food Qual. Prefer. 28, 375-381.
- Steg, L., Vlek, C., 2009. Encouragingpro-environmental behaviour: An integrative review and research agenda. J. Environ. Psychol. 29, 309-317.
- Terpstra, M., Steenbekkers, L., De Maertelaere, N., Nijhuis, S., 2005. Food storage and disposal: consumer practices and knowledge. Br. Food J. 107, 526-533.
- Thyberg, K.L., Tonjes, D.J., 2016. Drivers of food waste and their implications for sustainable policy development. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 106, 110-123.
- Tucker, C., Farrelly, T., 2015. Household food waste: the implications of consumer choice in food from purchase to disposal. Local Environ. 21, 682-706.
- UNEP, 2014. Prevention and Reduction of Food and Drink Waste in Businesses and Households: Guidance for Governments, Local Authorities, Businesses and Other Organisations. http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/user_upload/save-food/

PDF/Guidance-content.pdf (Accessed 23 August 2017).

- Van Garde, S.J., Woodburn, M.J., 1987. Food discard practices of householders. J. Am. Diet Assoc. 87, 322–329.
- Vanderroost, M., Ragaert, P., Devlieghere, F., De Meulenaer, B., 2014. Intelligent food packaging: the next generation. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 39, 47–62.
- Verghese, K., Lewis, H., Lockrey, S., Williams, H., 2015. Packaging's role in minimizing food loss and waste across the supply chain. Packag. Technol. Sci. 28, 603–620.
- Vermeir, I., Verbeke, W., 2006. Sustainable food consumption: Exploring the consumer "attitude-behavioral intention" gap. J. Agric. Environ. Ethics 19, 169–194.
 Visschers, V.H., Wickli, N., Siegrist, M., 2016. Sorting out food waste behaviour: a
- Visschers, V.H., Wickli, N., Siegrist, M., 2016. Sorting out food waste behaviour: a survey on the motivators and barriers of self-reported amounts of food waste in households. J. Environ. Psychol. 45, 66–78.
- Wahlen, S., 2011. The routinely forgotten routine character of domestic practices. Int. J. Consum, Stud. 35, 507–513.
- Wahlen, S., Winkel, T., 2016. Household food waste. In: Smithers, G. (Ed.), Reference Module in Food Science, pp. 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-100596-5.03368-0 (Accessed 23 August 2017).
- Waitt, G., Phillips, C., 2016. Food waste and domestic refrigeration: a visceral and material approach. Soc. Cult. Geogr. 17, 359–379.
- Wansink, B., Van Ittersum, K., 2013. Portion size me: plate-size induced consumption norms and win-win solutions for reducing food intake and waste. J. Exp. Psychol. Appl. 19, 320.
- Watson, M., Meah, A., 2012. Food, waste and safety: negotiating conflicting social anxieties into the practices of domestic provisioning. Socio. Rev. 60, 102–120.
 Wenlock, R., Buss, D., Derry, B., Dixon, E., 1980. Household food wastage in Britain. Br. J. Nutr. 43, 53–70.
- Whitmarsh, L., O'Neill, S., Lorenzoni, I., 2011. Climate change or social change?

- Debate within, amongst, and beyond disciplines. Environ. Plann. 43, 258–261. Wikström, F., Williams, H., Verghese, K., Clune, S., 2014. The influence of packaging attributes on consumer behaviour in food-packaging life cycle assessment studies-a neglected topic. J. Clean. Prod. 73, 100–108.
- Williams, H., Wikström, F., Otterbring, T., Löfgren, M., Gustafsson, A., 2012. Reasons for household food waste with special attention to packaging. J. Clean. Prod. 24, 141–148.
- WRAP, 2011. Consumer Insight: Date Label and Storage Guidance. Final report. http://www.wrapcymru.org.uk/sites/files/wrap/Technical%20report%20dates. pdf (Accessed 30 August 2017).
- WRAP, 2012a. Household Food and Drink Waste in the United Kingdom 2012. Final Report. http://www.wrap.org.uk/sites/files/wrap/Household_food_and_drink_ waste_in_the_UK_-_report.pdf (Accessed 30 August 2017).
- WRAP, 2012b. Review of Literature about Freezing Food at Home. Final report. http://www.wrapcymru.org.uk/sites/files/wrap/WRAP%20home%20freezing% 20report%20010512.pdf (Accessed 30 August 2017).
- WRAP, 2015. Reducing Food Waste by Extending Product Life Final Report. http:// www.wrap.org.uk/sites/files/wrap/Product%20Life%20Report%20Final_0.pdf (Accessed 30 August 2017).
- WRAP, 2017. Helping Consumers Reduce Food Waste Retail Survey 2015. Final Report. http://www.wrap.org.uk/sites/files/wrap/Retail_Survey_2015_ Summary_Report_0.pdf (Accessed 30 August 2017).
- Yildirim, H., Capone, R., Karanlik, A., Bottalico, F., Debs, P., El Bilali, H., 2016. Food wastage in Turkey: an exploratory survey on household food waste. J. Food. Nutr. Res. 4, 483–489.
- Young, W., Russell, S.V., Robinson, C.A., Barkemeyer, R., 2017. Can social media be a tool for reducing consumers' food waste? A behaviour change experiment by a UK retailer. Res. Conserv. Recycl. 117, 195–203.